arXiv:1610.06202v1 [math.RT] 19 Oct 2016

PLANCHEREL DECOMPOSITION OF HOWE DUALITY AND EULER FACTORIZATION OF AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONALS

YIANNIS SAKELLARIDIS

ABSTRACT. There are several global functionals on irreducible automorphic representations which are *Eulerian*, that is: pure tensors of local functionals, when the representation is written as an Euler product $\pi = \otimes'_v \pi_v$ of local representations. The precise factorization of such functionals is of interest to number theorists and is – naturally – very often related to special values of L-functions.

The purpose of this paper is to develop in full generality the Plancherel formula for the Weil or oscillator representation, considered as a unitary representation of a reductive dual pair, and to use it in order to demonstrate a very general principle of Euler factorization: local factors are determined via the Langlands correspondence by a local Plancherel formula. This pattern has already been observed and conjectured in the author's prior work with Venkatesh in the case of *period integrals*. Here, it is shown that the Rallis inner product formula amounts to the same principle in the setting of *global Howe duality*.

To Roger Howe,
in admiration.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Acknowledgements 7
2. Adams' conjecture and the L-group of Howe duality 7
2.1. Groups (overview) 7
2.2. Metaplectic group and the oscillator representation 8
2.3. L-groups 10
2.4. The L-group and Arthur-SL_2 of Howe duality 13
2.5. A Plancherel-theoretic version of Adams' conjecture 16
3. Plancherel decomposition of the oscillator representation 18
3.1. Growth of matrix coefficients of ω 19
3.2. Comparison of Schrödinger models 23
3.3. Plancherel decomposition of the oscillator representation 26
4. Euler factorization 29
4.1. Global conjecture and theorem 29
4.2. Reinterpretation of the Rallis inner product formula 34
References 36

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to develop the Plancherel formula for the Weil or oscillator representation, considered as a unitary representation of a reductive dual pair, and to show how known results on global Howe duality, more precisely Rallis' inner product formula (and its most recent extensions, in particular by Gan, Qiu and Takeda [GQT14]) can be reformulated as an identity between global and local functionals that generalizes a pattern already observed in the case of period integrals of automorphic forms.

Here is the general setup, which covers both period integrals and Howe duality (*a.k.a.* theta correspondence, [How89]): One is given a reductive group G over a global field k, and a unitary representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ of the adelic points $G(\mathbb{A}_k)$, which is a restricted tensor product of local unitary representations $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$. One is also given a suitable irreducible automorphic representation of G with a factorization:

$$\pi = \bigotimes_v{}' \pi_v \tag{1.1}$$

as a tensor product of irreducible representations of the local groups G_v, and an invariant pairing:

$$\mathcal{P} : \pi \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^0 \to \mathbb{C} \tag{1.2}$$

of "global" nature (i.e. given by some integral of automorphic functions over $[G] := G(k)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_k)$), where $\boldsymbol{\omega}^0$ is a dense (Eulerian) subspace of smooth vectors in $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. For what follows we will ignore the difference between $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}^0$ in our notation, and formulate statements that hold for suitable dense subspaces of vectors. We denote by $\pi, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ etc. both the spaces of the representations and the corresponding actions of the group.

Examples of this setup include:

(1) Period integrals over subgroups of G, which can equivalently be described as pairings:

$$\pi \otimes \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_k)) \to \mathbb{C},$$

where $X = H\backslash G$ is a homogeneous G-variety, and $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the space of Schwartz functions. Here $\boldsymbol{\omega} = L^2(X(\mathbb{A}_k))$, and the pairing is given by:

$$\mathcal{P} : \pi \otimes \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_k)) \ni \varphi \otimes \Phi \mapsto \int_{[G]} \varphi(g)\Sigma\Phi(g)dg, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Sigma\Phi$ denotes the automorphic function $\sum_{\gamma\in X(k)} \Phi(\gamma g)$, assuming that this integral converges.

(2) The Weil representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, when $G = G_1 \times G_2$ denotes a dual pair, whereby for $\Phi \in \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty$ and $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$ in an automorphic representation $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$, the pairing is given by the theta series of the pair

(Φ, φ_1) integrated against φ_2, or vice versa. It can be written in the symmetric form:

$$\mathcal{P} : \pi \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty \ni \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \Phi \mapsto$$
$$\int_{[G_1 \times G_2]} \varphi_1(g_1)\varphi_2(g_2)\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\omega}(g_1, g_2)\Phi)d(g_1, g_2), \tag{1.4}$$

where Σ denotes the standard automorphic functional on $\boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty$, provided these integrals converge.

In general, not all integrals above are convergent, and one needs to suitably regularize them.

Suppose that, for some reason, one knows that the pairing $\mathcal{P}$ is Eulerian, that is: a pure tensor in the space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A}_k)}(\pi \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigotimes_v{}' \mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(\pi_v \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}_v, \mathbb{C}). \tag{1.5}$$

(This restricted tensor product also needs some qualifications, in general, depending on the subspace of vectors that one is considering.) This is automatically true in the multiplicity-one case, i.e. when the spaces

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(\pi_v \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}_v, \mathbb{C})$$

are (at most) one-dimensional, which is the case for Howe duality and for many spherical varieties. The question is, then, to describe an explicit factorization of $\mathcal{P}$ into local functionals.

Such local factors will depend on how one fixes the isomorphism (1.1), hence there is a better hope of getting a meaningful answer if we double all variables, and consider the corresponding pairing $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ on the pair $\pi \otimes \pi^\vee$, where $\pi^\vee$ is the dual representation of π, realized in a natural way on the space of automorphic representations. (Typically, π will belong to $L^2([G])$ up to a character twist, and $\pi^\vee$ will be its complex conjugate up to the inverse twist.) The tensor product $\pi \otimes \pi^\vee$ has a canonical factorization:

$$\pi \otimes \pi^\vee = \bigotimes_v{}' (\pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee), \tag{1.6}$$

dictated by the preservation of the dual pairing, and so does the product of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ with its dual (which, by unitarity, is just its complex conjugate). Therefore, we have a functional:

$$\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} : (\pi \otimes \pi^\vee) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\vee) \to \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.7}$$

which is invariant under $G(\mathbb{A}_k) \times G(\mathbb{A}_k)$ acting diagonally on the 1st and 3rd, resp. 2nd and 4th factors, and one is asking for an Euler factorization into local functionals with the analogous invariance property:

$$(\pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\omega}_v \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\vee) \to \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.8}$$

Equivalently, since the (admissible) dual of $\pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee$ is $\pi_v^\vee \otimes \pi_v$, we can view the global and local functionals as morphisms:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\vee \to \pi^\vee \otimes \pi,$$

and because π is assumed to be irreducible, these are determined uniquely by their composition with the canonical pairing

$$J : \boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\vee \to \pi^\vee \otimes \pi \to \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.9}$$

which we will call a *relative character*. (It is a generalization of the notion of character, when $\boldsymbol{\omega}^0 = \mathcal{S}(H(\mathbb{A}_k))$, the Schwartz space of a group H under the $G = H \times H$-action.)

If we denote by J^{Aut} (for "automorphic") the relative character that we get from the global pairing $\mathcal{P}$, we are seeking an Euler factorization:

$$J^{\mathrm{Aut}} = \prod_v J_v. \tag{1.10}$$

Such an Euler factorization was conjectured in [SV] for the case of Eulerian period integrals, generalizing a conjecture of Ichino and Ikeda [II10] for the Gross-Prasad periods. The main idea is that the local relative characters J_v of (1.10) will be provided by the *Plancherel decomposition* of the unitary representations $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$, assuming that this satisfies a *relative local Langlands conjecture*. Namely, it is conjectured (and proven in several cases) that the Plancherel decomposition for $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ reads:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \int_{\widehat{G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}}^{\mathrm{temp}}} \mathcal{H}_\sigma \mu_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}(\sigma),$$

where:

- the group $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ is a reductive group determined by the representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$;
- $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}$ is the standard Plancherel measure on the unitary dual of $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v} = G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(k_v)$ (supported on the set of tempered representations);
- The (possibly zero) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ is $\iota(\sigma)$-isotypic, where

  $$\iota : \widehat{G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}}^{\mathrm{temp}} \to \widehat{G}_v$$

  is a map from the tempered dual of $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}$ to the unitary dual of G_v determined by a distinguished map of L-groups:

  $$^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to {}^L G. \tag{1.11}$$

  To be precise, the map of L-groups, including the "Arthur" SL_2-factor determines, by the Langlands and Arthur conjectures, a map $\phi \mapsto \Pi_{\iota(\phi)}$ from the set of tempered Langlands parameters into ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ to the set of (unitary) Arthur packets of G_v. Thus, in reality the above integral should be an integral over L-parameters into ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ (the actual group $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ plays no role), the measure $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}$ is the Plancherel measure on the set of those parameters (by conjectures

of Hiraga-Ichino-Ikeda [HII08] it is well-defined, up to a small integer factor in the case of exceptional groups) and the space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ is isotypic for the set of representations in the Arthur packet $\Pi_{\iota(\phi)}$. However, for the purposes of the introduction we can ignore these fine differences.

Assuming this conjecture, for $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}$-almost every σ we get a local relative character $J_\sigma^{\mathrm{Planch}}$ associated to the representation $\iota(\sigma)$, such that the Plancherel decomposition holds:

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_v} = \int_{\widehat{G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}}^{\mathrm{temp}}} J_\sigma^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_1 \otimes \bar{\Phi}_2) \mu_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}(\sigma). \tag{1.12}$$

In other words, $J_\sigma^{\mathrm{Planch}}$ is pulled back from the inner product in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$.In practice, this relative character is continuous on the tempered dual of $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega},v}$, and hence the J_σ's are defined for every tempered σ.

Now assume that we are interested in the Euler factorization of the global relative character J^{Aut} for an automorphic representation π which is a functorial lift $\iota(\sigma)$ of a tempered automorphic representation σ of $G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ via the above map of L-groups. (That is, π belongs to the space of automorphic forms associated to the "global Arthur parameter" obtained by the "global Langlands parameter" of σ, if one can make sense of such global parameters, by composition with the above map.) Then the conjecture states, roughly, that up to a rational global factor which is missing from (1.10), the local factors J_v are the ones given by the above Plancherel decomposition, that is:

$$J_v = J_{\sigma_v}^{\mathrm{Planch}}. \tag{1.13}$$

The Euler product should be understood with the help of partial L-functions, as it will not converge, but almost all factors will be equal to a special value of an unramified L-function.

In the case of spherical periods, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\omega} = L^2(X(\mathbb{A}_k))$ where X is a (suitable) spherical variety, the L-group ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ is the L-group of that spherical variety, which can be defined in some generality – s. [SV] for split groups – and is based on the dual group attached by Gaitsgory and Nadler [GN10].

The purpose of this article is to unify the case of period integrals and the case of the theta correspondence, showing that the same principle of Euler factorization, as outlined above, is valid for the theta correspondence, as well. Here, the L-group and the map (1.11) are provided by a conjecture of Adams [Ada89]. Essentially, if $G = G_1 \times G_2$ is a dual pair, then ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ is the L-group of the "smaller" of the two, embedded diagonally in ${}^L G$; and the map from SL_2 corresponds to a principal unipotent orbit in the commutator of its image. I give a more careful account of the appropriate conventions for L-groups for this case in §2.3.

Of course, the Euler factorization of (the square of the absolute value of) the global Howe pairing (1.4) is known in most cases by the name of "Rallis inner product formula", and is a consequence of the Siegel-Weil formula

developed by the work of Rallis, Kudla, Mœglin, Ichino, Jiang, Soudry, Gan, Qiu, Takeda and Yamana [Ral87, KR88a, KR88b, KR94, Mœg97, Ich01, Ich04, Ich07, JS07, GT11, GQT14, Yam11, Yam14]. In this article I restrict my attention to Howe duality for the so-called non-quaternionic, type I dual pairs, i.e. symplectic-orthogonal or unitary. The goal of this article is to reinterpret the appropriate Rallis inner product formulas – more precisely, those in the "boundary" and "second term range" in the sense of [GQT14] – showing that the local factors of the Euler factorization of (1.4) are the ones of the local Plancherel formula (1.12) for the Weil representation restricted to a dual pair.

To describe the contents of the paper in more detail, in section 2 I introduce the formalism of "the L-group of Howe duality" and Adams' conjecture – which are, however, only needed to establish the analogy with the "relative Langlands program" for spherical varieties, not for any of the results which follow.

In section 3 I develop the Plancherel formula for Howe duality. It states (Theorem 3.0.2) that, if $G_1 \times G_2$ is a dual pair (over a local field) with G_2 the "small" group, and if $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ denotes the oscillator representation of $G_1 \times G_2$ (considered as a unitary representation) then $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ admits the Plancherel decomposition:

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = \int_{\widehat{G_2}} J_\pi^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)\ \mu_{G_2}(\pi_2), \tag{1.14}$$

where μ_{G_2} denotes Plancherel measure for G_2, and π stands for the representation $\theta(\pi_2)\hat{\otimes}\pi_2$. The hermitian forms J_π^{Planch} are the ones explicitly defined by Jian-Shu Li in [Li89], and their positivity (proven under additional assumptions by Hongyu He in [He03]) is part of the theorem. The result is probably known to experts, and at least parts of it have appeared in the literature, cf. [How79, Gel76, RS77, ØZ95, ØZ97, GG14].

Finally, in section 4 I reformulate the Rallis inner product formula, in its most recent form as appearing in [Yam14] and [GQT14], in terms of the local hermitian forms J_π^{Planch} above. The main result (Theorem 4.1.4) states that the relative characters (1.9) of global Howe duality admit a factorization as in (1.10), whose local factors are precisely those hermitian forms.

It is by no means the first time that Howe duality and the theory of period integrals are being brought together; the theta correspondence has repeatedly been used to study period integrals, at least since the groundbreaking work of Waldspurger [Wal80, Wal85], and there has been a very systematically back-and-forth between these two methods in recent years around the program established by the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjectures [GGP12]. However, I am not aware of a uniform formulation of the principle of Euler factorization that was outlined above, and it may help in the understanding of some general principles underlying the theory of automorphic representations.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** This paper is dedicated to Professor Roger Howe, in deep admiration of the wealth of ideas that he has brought to the world of representation theory.

The paper would not have been possible without the kind guidance of Wee Teck Gan who patiently answered my questions a few years ago and effectively guided me into the world of Howe duality. Moreover, the essential results that this paper is based on are all found in papers by him and his collaborators, which are in turn based on earlier work of Kudla, Rallis and others, building up on the groundbreaking ideas of Roger Howe.

I am also grateful to Jeff Adams for conversations on his conjecture on the Arthur parameters of Howe duality – a conjecture which paved the way for unifying the theta correspondence with the Langlands program, based on the work of his and his collaborators in the real case.

Finally, I am indebted to the anonymous referee for a very prompt and comprehensive report, including several corrections and suggestions for improvement.

2. Adams' conjecture and the L-group of Howe duality

2.1. **Groups (overview).** Fix a sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and a field E of degree 1 or 2 over our base field k.

We will work in the context of Kudla-Rallis' Siegel-Weil formula, as generalized in [GQT14]. Thus, we will consider the theta correspondence between an (almost) arbitrary isometry group G_1 of an ϵ-hermitian form on a vector space V, and the isometry group G_2 of an $(-\epsilon)$-hermitian form on a vector space W. When necessary, one of these groups will be replaced by its double metaplectic cover. Obviously, up to replacing ϵ by $-\epsilon$ (and except for a technical condition that we will eventually impose on the Witt rank of V in order to apply known results on the Rallis inner product formula), the situation is symmetric in V, W and we can interchange G_1 and G_2, but we will take G_2 to be the "smaller" of these groups.

More precisely, let k be a number field, $E = k$ or a quadratic field extension, $\eta = \eta_{E/k}$ the (possibly trivial) quadratic idele class character of k attached to E by class field theory. The action of the Galois group of E/k will be denoted by a bar ($\bar{}$), with the understanding that it is trivial when $E = k$. We will sometimes use F to denote a completion of k, in which case we will abuse notation (when no confusion arises) and denote again by E the ring $E \otimes_k F$, and by $\eta_{E/F}$ the corresponding quadratic character of $F^\times$. The set of points of a variety X over a completion k_v will be denoted both by $X(k_v)$ and by X_v.

Fix a sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and consider a non-degenerate ϵ-hermitian space V, that is: V is a vector space over E equipped with a non-degenerate ϵ-hermitian form:

$$(v, w) = \epsilon\overline{(w, v)}.$$

Similarly, let W denote a non-degenerate $(-\epsilon)$-Hermitian space of dimension n.

We will denote by G_1 and G_2, respectively, certain central extensions of the isometry groups of V and W by $\mathbb{C}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times | \, |z| = 1\}$. These covers split in most cases, so by abuse of notation we may also denote by G_1, G_2 the groups appearing in the following table:

	$G_1(V)$	$\check{G}_1$	$\check{G}_2$	$G_2(W)$	$d(n)$
$(E:k)=2$	U_m	GL_m	GL_n	U_n	n
$\epsilon=-1$, n even	Sp_m	SO_{m+1}	SO_n	O_n	$n-1$
$\epsilon=-1$, n odd	Mp_m	Sp_m	Sp_{n-1}	O_n	$n-1$
$\epsilon=1$, m even	O_m	SO_m	SO_{n+1}	Sp_n	$n+1$
$\epsilon=1$, m odd	O_m	Sp_{m-1}	Sp_n	Mp_n	$n+1$

(2.1)

Here Mp_m denotes the double metaplectic cover of the symplectic group Sp_m. (Our notation for symplectic groups uses Sp_m to denote the isometry group of a symplectic space of dimension m, so m is even.)

The table above includes, besides the groups G_1 and G_2, their Langlands dual groups, i.e. the identity components of their L-groups. The value $d(n)$ is the value of m corresponding to the *boundary case*, that is: the case when the standard representations of ${}^LG_1$ and ${}^LG_2$ have the same dimension (if possible by parity restrictions).

We will assume throughout (as we may without loss of generality, by symmetry) that $d(n) \leq m$, i.e. G_2 (or, rather, its dual) is the smaller group, while G_1 is the larger one.

The table above is provided for convenience of the reader – however, there are many non-canonical choices that need to be made in order to identify the groups of Howe duality with the above groups, and their L-groups with the given L-groups. We are about to describe more canonical definitions, which will free us from the necessity to make such choices.

2.2. **Metaplectic group and the oscillator representation.** Fix an additive character $\psi : \mathbb{A}_k/k \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, and a factorization $\psi = \prod_v \psi_v$ into unitary characters of the completions k_v. Whenever no confusion arises, we will be using the same letter to denote the composition of ψ with the trace map from $\mathbb{A}_E$ to $\mathbb{A}_k$, and for its restrictions to the various completions of E.

The space $V \otimes W$, considered as a vector space over k, has a natural symplectic structure. Restricting to a completion k_v, the associated Heisenberg group has a unique, up to isomorphism, irreducible representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{v,\psi_v}$ where its center acts by ψ_v. This gives rise to a projective representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$, and hence a representation of the group:

$$\mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_v) \times_{\mathrm{PGL}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_v)} \mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v),$$

which is a central extension of $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$ by $\mathbb{C}^\times$. This is the oscillator, or Weil, representation [Wei64]. Moreover, there is a canonical subextension

by $\mathbb{C}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times | \, |z| = 1\}$:

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^1 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)(k_v) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v) \to 1, \tag{2.2}$$

characterized by the fact that it acts unitarily on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$. We will be working with $\mathbb{C}^1$-extensions.

Let $G(V), G(W) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)$ be the isometry groups of V, resp. W, and let $\tilde{G}_{1,v}, \tilde{G}_{2,v}$ be the preimages, in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$, of the images of $G(V)(k_v)$, resp. $G(W)(k_v)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$. These are central $\mathbb{C}^1$-extensions of the corresponding classical groups. Note (as we will soon recall) that the isomorphism class of $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$ does not only depend on the space V, but also on W, and similarly for $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$.

Throughout this paper we will denote by $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$ the smooth vectors of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ with respect to the *big* symplectic cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$. The pull-back of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ to $\tilde{G}_v := \tilde{G}_{1,v} \times \tilde{G}_{2,v}$ gives rise to the theta correspondence (Howe duality). More precisely, for any irreducible representation π of $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$ which occurs as a quotient of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$, there is a unique irreducible representation $\theta(\pi)$ of $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$ such that $\pi \otimes \theta(\pi)$ occurs as a quotient, and vice versa when we interchange $G_{1,v}$ and $G_{2,v}$ [Kud96]. This was proven by Howe [How89] for Archimedean fields, by Waldspurger [Wal90] for p-adic fields with $p \neq 2$, and by Gan and Takeda [GT16] for all p-adic fields.

The local theta correspondence is naturally a correspondence between *genuine* representations of $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$, $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$, i.e. representations where the central $\mathbb{C}^1$ acts by the identity character. To translate this to a correspondence between representations of more classical groups, one needs to make some choices which give rise to splittings $G(V)_v \to \tilde{G}_{1,v}$, $G(W)_v \to \tilde{G}_{2,v}$, or at least (when this is not possible), splittings over the metaplectic double cover of $G(V)_v$, $G(W)_v$.

Such choices are described by Kudla in [Kud94] and they are quite standard nowadays in the theory of Howe duality. There is a standard (described by Ranga Rao [RR93]) set-theoretic splitting $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k_v) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$ and an ensuing 2-cocycle, valued in the group $\mu_8(\mathbb{C})$ of 8-th roots of unity, which describes the $\mathbb{C}^1$-extension $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)(k_v)$. Given that, Kudla [Kud94, Theorem 3.1], [Kud96, §II.3] describes explicit 1-cocycles $\beta_V : G(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1$, $\beta_W : G(V) \to \mathbb{C}^1$, depending on some choices, which trivialize the 2-cocycle over $G(V), G(W)$, or over their double (metaplectic) covers. As the notation suggests, β_V depends on V and β_W depends on W (because the groups $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$, resp. $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$ do), and this dependence will also appear in the L-groups that we are about to define.

However, these choices are non-canonical and complicate the relationship between Howe duality and Langlands correspondence. Therefore, in this paper I will make the following convention: $G_{1,v}$, $G_{2,v}$ will, strictly speaking, denote the covering groups $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$, $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$ encountered above, and

by "representations" of those groups we will always mean *genuine* representations. In the next subsection, I will assign (non-standard) L-groups to these groups. I will also explain how certain choices give rise to usual L-groups, in parallel to Kudla's splitting of the covers. Following that, we will allow ourselves to abuse language and treat $G_{1,v}$, $G_{2,v}$ as a classical group or a double cover thereof, as in Table 2.1, when no confusion arises.

2.3. **L-groups.** We will adopt the following definitions of non-standard L-groups; notice that L-groups are defined globally, based on local considerations on covering groups. The definitions given here are compatible with the ones given by Adams [Ada89] in the Archimedean case, up to conjugacy (cf. Remark 2.4.2). I will also explain how choices related to Kudla's cocycles correspond to choices that modify these L-groups into standard L-groups.

(1) For V a quadratic space of *odd* dimension m over k, we let

$$^LG_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k). \tag{2.3}$$

Here the space W is a symplectic space over W, and Kudla's cocycle β_W giving rise to $G(V) \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_{1,v}$ does not depend on any data of W.

For m odd we have: $O_m = \mathrm{SO}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, and an irreducible representation for O_m is given by an irreducible representation for SO_m and a sign for $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The L-group of O_m should be identified with the L-group of SO_m, with the sign of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ not affecting the L-parameter (or Arthur parameter) of a representation; this is compatible with results on the theta correspondence that will be recalled later (such as the results of Atobe-Gan [AGa]).

(2) For V a quadratic space of *even* dimension m over k, again the cocycle does not depend on any data of W, and we let:

$$^LG_1 = O_m(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \tag{2.4}$$

where O_m maps to $\{\pm 1\}$ via the determinant and $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ maps via $\tilde{\chi}_V$, the (trivial or non-trivial) quadratic Galois character associated to the normalized discriminant of the quadratic space V. ("Normalized" refers to the fact that for a split quadratic space it is a square, i.e. the normalized discriminant is the square class of $(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ times the determinant of a matrix of the quadratic form.)

In the split case ($\tilde{\chi}_V = 1$) this is just the direct product $\mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, but in the non-split case its quotient through the map $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \to \mathrm{Gal}(k(\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(V)})/k)$ can be identified with $O_m(\mathbb{C})$. While this identification is customary, we should remind ourselves how it relates to the more "standard" version of the L-group of SO_m as a pinned semi-direct product:

$$\mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(k(\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(V)})/k). \tag{2.5}$$

We may fix a pinning for SO_m. Any two such pinnings are conjugate by a unique element of $\mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C})$, up to the center $\{\pm 1\}$ of the group. Identifying, then, the group $O_m(\mathbb{C})$ with the pinned semi-direct product $\mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ depends on choosing an element $\epsilon \in O_m(\mathbb{C}) \smallsetminus \mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C})$ which acts by the outer automorphism on the pinning, and with $\epsilon^2 = 1$. There are two inequivalent choices for such an ϵ (when $m > 2$, whose quotient is the central $-1 \in \mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C})$. *We choose the ϵ which corresponds to a simple reflection* under the standard representation of $O_m(\mathbb{C})$, i.e. with eigenvalues $(1, 1, \dots 1, 1, -1)$. This is the standard choice in the literature.

Finally, we mention that equivalence classes of Langlands or Arthur parameters for O_m will be classes of parameters into the above L-group modulo the action of $O_m(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation.

(3) For V a symplectic space of dimension m (and hence W a quadratic space, whose discriminant Galois character we will denote by $\tilde{\chi}_W$), the 1-cocycle β_W depends on W, and only trivializes the cover if $\dim(W)$ is even; in the odd case, it reduces the cover $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$ to the double metaplectic cover $\mathrm{Mp}_m(k_v)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_m(k_v)$. Hence, we distinguish two sub-cases:

- If $n = \dim(W)$ is even, we take:

$$ {}^L G_1 = O_{m+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \tag{2.6}$$

  where O_{m+1} maps to $\{\pm 1\}$ via the determinant and $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ maps via $\tilde{\chi}_W$.
  Of course, this is still isomorphic to the direct product of $\mathrm{SO}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, simply by multiplying by the diagonal of $\tilde{\chi}_W$. We view this operation at the level of L-groups as the analog of Kudla's cocycle, which identifies $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$ with $\mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathrm{Sp}_m$. However, in view of the behavior of the theta correspondence in terms of Langlands parameters, it is better to adopt the above definition of L-group for $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$.
- If $n = \dim(W)$ is odd, we take:

$$ {}^L G_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \tag{2.7}$$

  however: this is *not* the L-group of the double-cover metaplectic group Mp_m that is found in the literature. Namely, we recall from [Wei14, §4.3] that the choice of an additive character ψ_v (and the standard choice of fourth root of unity $i \in \mathbb{C}$) identifies the L-group of the metaplectic double cover $\mathrm{Mp}_m(k_v)$ with $\mathrm{Sp}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Kudla's cocycle (which depends on W) defines a splitting:

$$ \mathrm{Mp}_m(k_v) \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_{1,v}. $$

This splitting corresponds to an identification of L-groups:

$$ {}^L G_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^L \mathrm{Mp}_m = \mathrm{Sp}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \tag{2.8} $$

given by multiplication by the quadratic character associated to W, that is:

$$ (g, \sigma) \mapsto (\tilde{\chi}_W(\sigma) g, \sigma). $$

(4) In the unitary case, Kudla's cocycle β_W depends on the choice of a unitary character χ_W of (the local quadratic extension) $E^\times$ which extends the n-th power of the quadratic character η associated to the extension E/k_v (where, again, $n = \dim(W)$). Rather than making such a choice, we define the L-group ${}^L G_1$ as the inflation to $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ of the possibly non-split extension:

$$ \widetilde{GL_m}^n := \left\langle \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}), \sigma | \sigma^2 = (-1)^n, \sigma g \sigma^{-1} = g^c \right\rangle, \tag{2.9} $$

where $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is considered as a pinned group, and g^c is the pinned Chevalley involution, in other words:

$$ {}^L G_1 = \widetilde{GL_m}^n \times_{\mathrm{Gal}(E/k)} \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \tag{2.10} $$

where, of course, $\widetilde{GL_m}^n$ maps to $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k)$ with σ mapping to the non-trivial element.

The Langlands parameter of an idele class character χ_W of E which extends the quadratic character $\eta_{E/k}^n$ is a homomorphism:

$$ \mathcal{W}_k \to {}^L \mathrm{Res}_{E/k} \mathbb{G}_m = (\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(E/k) $$

(where $\mathcal{W}_k$ denotes the Weil group of k) with the property that composing with the map:

$$ {}^L \mathrm{Res}_{E/k} \mathbb{G}_m \to {}^L \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathrm{Gal}(E/k) $$

given on connected components by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 z_2$ we get the n-th power of the quadratic character of $\mathcal{W}_k$ associated to E/k. Such a parameter is necessarily of the form:

$$ w \mapsto (\tilde{\chi}_W, \tilde{\chi}_W^\sigma), $$

where $\tilde{\chi}_W : \mathcal{W}_k \to \widetilde{GL_1}^n$ and $\tilde{\chi}_W^\sigma$ denotes its σ-conjugate in $\widetilde{GL_1}^n$. (I am confusing here maps to non-connected groups and their projections to the connected components, but the reader should have no difficulty discerning the meaning.) Thus, a choice of χ_W gives rise to an isomorphism:

$$ {}^L G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \tag{2.11} $$

(the latter being the L-group of the unitary group), namely *multiplication by $\tilde{\chi}_W$*. We see this isomorphism as the identification of L-groups provided by the corresponding splitting:

$$ U_m(k_v) \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_{1,v} $$

defined by Kudla. One readily checks from Kudla's formulas that multiplying a given χ_W by a character χ' of U_1 has the effect of multiplying the above splitting by χ' composed with the determinant, which is compatible with the modification of (2.11) that would ensue.

This completes the description of ${}^LG_1$, and ${}^LG_2$ is defined in a completely analogous way, by interchanging the role of V and W.

2.3.1. *Remark.* It would be interesting to see an account of how the covering groups $\tilde{G}_{1,v}$, $\tilde{G}_{2,v}$ might be seen as arising from the K_2-covers of Brylinski and Deligne [BD01], and their L-groups above arising from the canonical L-groups attached to these covers by Weissman [Wei].

2.4. **The L-group and Arthur-SL_2 of Howe duality.** From now on we denote by $G_{1,v}, G_{2,v}$ (or $G_1(k_v), G_2(k_v)$) the covering groups $\tilde{G}_{1,v}, \tilde{G}_{2,v}$, or their simplified versions of Table (2.1), when no confusion arises. However, we *do not* adopt the simplified versions of their L-groups appearing in that table, which depend on choices. Rather, we work throughout with the definitions of ${}^LG_1, {}^LG_2$ given in the previous subsection. We set $G_v = G_{1,v} \times G_{2,v}$, and ${}^LG = {}^LG_1 \times_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} {}^LG_2$.

From now on we assume throughout (as we may, by symmetry) that $d(n) \leq m$, i.e. G_2 is the "small" group. We define *the L-group of Howe duality* to be:

$$ {}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} := {}^LG_2, \tag{2.12}$$

endowed with a *canonical morphism*:[1]

$$ {}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to {}^LG, \tag{2.13}$$

which is described as follows: To define the restriction of this map to ${}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, it is enough to define two L-morphisms (i.e. compatible with the quotient to $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$: ${}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \to {}^LG_1$ and ${}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \to {}^LG_2$. The latter is taken to be the identity, and the former will be the "natural" morphism which will be described below. We then map SL_2 to the centralizer of the image of ${}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ in the connected component of ${}^LG_1$.

Before we proceed to the description of the map

$$ {}^LG_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 = {}^LG_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}^LG_1, \tag{2.14}$$

let us assume it to recall Adams' conjecture, restricted to tempered representations of G_2. (Non-tempered representations of the small group will not play any role in this paper, and in any case the "naive" version of the conjecture needs to be corrected in this case, see [Mœg11b, §7.1].

2.4.1. **Conjecture** (Adams' conjecture)**.** *The theta lift to $G_{1,v}$ of a tempered representation π of $G_{2,v}$ with Langlands parameter ϕ, if non-zero, belongs to an*

[1] S. Remark 2.4.2.

Arthur packet with Arthur parameter

$$\phi' : \mathcal{W}'_{k_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\times \mathrm{Id}} {}^L G_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}^L G_1, \tag{2.15}$$

where γ is the morphism (2.14).

Here $\mathcal{W}'_{k_v}$ denotes the Weil (in the Archimedean case) or Weil-Deligne group (in the non-Archimedean case) of k_v.

This conjecture, for tempered representations, has been proven in several cases over Archimedean fields by Adams, Barbasch, Mœglin and Paul [Ada89, AB95, Mœg89, Pau98, Pau00, Pau05]. In the non-Archimedean case, it is very close to being a theorem, summarized in [AGa, Theorems 4.3 and 4.5], based on results of Atobe, Ichino, Gan and Savin [Ato, AGa, GI16, GS12] extending previous results of Muić [Mui04, Mui06, Mui08a, Mui08b] and Mœglin [Mœg11b, Mœg11a]. More precisely, the relevant cases of the aforementioned theorems are when $m \geq m_1$ (statements (2),(3),(4)) in Theorem 4.3, and statements (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 4.5. In all cases but the "base case" of the "going-up tower" (i.e. statements (1), (2) in Theorem 4.5) it is immediate to see that the Langlands parameters given by [AGa] are the ones of the "main" Langlands packet inside our desired Arthur packet. In the remaining cases, one needs to argue that the stated representations belong to our desired Arthur packet, as was done in [Mœg11b, §8] for symplectic-even orthogonal pairs.

We now come to a description of the morphism (2.14), commenting on its relation with the definitions of Adams [Ada89] and the results of [AGa]. In all cases, after we describe the map from ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, we will map SL_2 to the centralizer of the image of ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ in the identity component of ${}^L G_1$, so that the non-trivial unipotent orbit in SL_2 maps into the *principal* unipotent orbit in this centralizer. Hence, it remains to describe the map from ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$.

(1) When V is a quadratic space of odd dimension m, we embed:

$${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \to {}^L G_1 = \mathrm{Sp}_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \tag{2.16}$$

by identifying the symplectic space associated to the former with a symplectic subspace of the latter.

Recall, however, from (2.8) that in this case the L-group ${}^L G_1$ is not the "standard" L-group of the two-fold cover of the metaplectic group, but a twist of it by the discriminant character of V. Therefore, the map (2.14) induces a map on L-parameters that corresponds to the one of [AGa], where a twist by χ_V^{-1} appears. (The character χ_W of *loc.cit*. is in this case trivial.)

(2) When V is a quadratic space of even dimension m, we embed:

$${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = O_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \to {}^L G_1 = O_m(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \tag{2.17}$$

by identifying the quadratic space of the first group with a subspace of the quadratic space of the latter. Notice that for both L-groups,

$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ maps to $\{\pm 1\}$ via the determinant of V, so the morphism is well-defined.

To compare with the results of [AGa], notice that our choices for the L-group of G_2 coincide, while to identify the L-groups of ${}^L G_1$ one needs to multiply by the quadratic character $\tilde{\chi}_V$ – this accounts for the factor of χ_V^{-1} in their formulas, while χ_W is again trivial.

(3) When V is symplectic, W is orthogonal and we repeat what was done in the previous two cases, with the only difference that the 'small" L-group is the one of the orthogonal space. Notice that now the character χ_V in the results of [AGa] will be trivial, while the character χ_W accounts for our twisted definition of L-groups.

(4) When V is unitary and the difference $m-n$ of the dimensions of V and W is an even number $2s$, in which case both covers $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_m}^n$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_n}^m$ of (2.9) are simultaneously split or non-split, and having the "standard" pinning of general linear groups in mind, we embed $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ into the "middle" block of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$. The element $\sigma = \sigma_n$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_n}^m$ will go to the corresponding element $\sigma = \sigma_m$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_m}^n$ when m and n are even (the extensions are split), and to the element:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_s & & \\ & I_n & \\ & & -I_s \end{pmatrix} \sigma_m, \tag{2.18}$$

as in [Ada89], in the odd case, in order to make this map a homomorphism. When $m-n$ is odd, so exactly one of the two covers $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_m}^n$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_n}^m$ is non-split, we embed, as in [Ada89], the connected component $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ into the top left block of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, and map σ_n to the element:

$$\begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ I_{m-n} & \end{pmatrix} \sigma_m. \tag{2.19}$$

A more linear-algebraic description of Langlands parameters into ${}^L G_1$, ${}^L G_2$ will make the above definitions appear more natural, and will clarify the relation with the results of [AGa]: It is easy to see that equivalence classes (i.e. $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy classes) of Langlands parameters into $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_m}^n$ are conjugacy classes of Frobenius-semisimple homomorphisms

$$\phi : \mathcal{W}'_E \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$$

with the property that there is a non-degenerate bilinear form B on $\mathbb{C}^m$ with

$$B(\phi(w)x, \phi(\tau w \tau^{-1})y) = B(x,y), \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$B(y,x) = (-1)^{m+n+1} B(x, \phi(\tau^2)y), \tag{2.21}$$

where τ is some (any) chosen element of $\mathcal{W}_F \smallsetminus \mathcal{W}_E$.

It is now easy to see that Langlands parameters into ${}^L G_2$ naturally give rise to (equivalence classes of) Langlands parameters into ${}^L G_1$, and that this map between equivalence classes corresponds to the map of L-groups described above. Moreover, the description of parameters in [AGa] is identical, except that the factor $(-1)^{m+n+1}$ of (2.21) is replaced by $(-1)^{m+1}$. This corresponds to the twist by $\tilde{\chi}_W$ that is needed to pass from ${}^L G_1$ to the L-group of U_m, as in (2.11), and a similar twist by $\tilde{\chi}_V$ is needed to pass from ${}^L G_2$ to the L-group of U_n; this explains the factors $\chi_V^{-1}\chi_W$ appearing in the formulas of [AGa].

This completes the description of the "L-group of Howe duality", endowed with a "canonical" morphism (2.13).

2.4.2. *Remark.* The morphism (2.13) that we defined *ad-hoc* appears to be the "correct" one for equivalence classes of Langlands parameters; hence, it is the "correct" one up to conjugacy by the connected component $\check{G}$ of ${}^L G$. This makes it less canonical than the connected component $\check{G}_X$ of the L-group of a spherical variety X which, in [SV], was given with a morphism:

$$\check{G}_X \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to \check{G}$$

canonical *up to conjugacy by the canonical maximal torus* (and completely canonical if we were working with pinned groups). It would be desirable, not only for aesthetic reasons, to have a more geometric definition of ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, together with an analog of the "boundary degenerations" of a spherical variety for Howe duality, that would allow us to pinpoint a more canonical map (2.13) of L-groups.

2.5. **A Plancherel-theoretic version of Adams' conjecture.** Now consider the unitary oscillator representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ at a place v as a genuine, unitary representation of the dual pair $G_v = G_{1,v} \times G_{2,v}$. Throughout this paper, the "unitary dual" of these groups means the *genuine* unitary dual.

The abstract theory of the Plancherel formula tells us that there is a decomposition:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \int_{\widehat{G_v}} \mathcal{H}_\pi \mu_v(\pi), \tag{2.22}$$

where μ_v is a measure on the unitary dual, and for an irreducible unitary representation π of G_v, the unitary representation $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ is isomorphic to a sum of copies of π.

For more careful presentations of the Plancherel decomposition, including issues of measurability, I point the reader to [Ber88] and [SV, §6.1]. For the decomposition (2.22) to make sense, one needs to specify morphisms from a dense subspace $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^0$ of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ to the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, and the decomposition is essentially unique, in the sense that the resulting measure on $\widehat{G_v}$ which is valued in the space of Hermitian forms on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^0$ is unique.

We will later see that in this case one can take $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^0 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$, or, in the language of [Ber88], the decomposition is *pointwise defined* on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$. Assuming this, for ($\mu$-almost) every irreducible unitary $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ of G_v, the morphism $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty \to \mathcal{H}_\pi$ of the Plancherel decomposition has to factor through a (semisimple) π_v-isotypic quotient of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$. The Howe duality theorem implies that π_1 and π_2 completely determine each other, and that the quotient is multiplicity-free; in the notation used before, $\pi_1 = \theta(\pi_2)$ and $\pi_2 = \theta(\pi_1)$. (Notice that we are throughout omitting the dependence on the character ψ_v from the notation.) Hence, we have a Plancherel decomposition of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \int_{\widehat{G_{2,v}}} \theta(\pi_2) \hat{\otimes} \pi_2 \ \mu_{2,v}(\pi_2), \tag{2.23}$$

where $\mu_{2,v}$ is now some measure on the unitary dual of $G_{2,v}$ (the push-forward of the measure μ_v on $\widehat{G_v}$). The fact that the decomposition is pointwise defined on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$ will be shown in the context of the proof of Theorem 3.0.2, in §3.3.

We formulate the following unitary variant of Adams' conjecture. It is the analog of the "relative local Langlands conjecture" [SV][Conjecture 16.2.2] for the L^2-space of a spherical variety. Recall that we are assuming that G_2 is the "small" group, i.e. $m \geq d(n)$.

2.5.1. **Conjecture.** *There is a direct integral decomposition:*

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \int_{[\phi]} \mathcal{H}_\phi \mu_{2,v}(\phi), \tag{2.24}$$

where:

- $[\phi]$ *runs over isomorphism classes of local tempered (i.e., bounded) Langlands parameters into* ${}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = {}^L G_2$*;*
- $\mu_{2,v}$ *is in the natural class of measures on the set of such Langlands parameters;*
- $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ *is isomorphic to a (possibly empty) direct sum of irreducible representations belonging to the Arthur packet associated to the composition:*

$$\mathcal{W}'_{k_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\phi \times \mathrm{Id}} {}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to {}^L(G_1 \times G_2), \tag{2.25}$$

where the last arrow is the canonical morphism (2.13).

Notice that, by abuse of notation, we use the same symbol $\mu_{2,v}$ for the Plancherel measure on the unitary dual of $G_{2,v}$, and for a measure on the set of its tempered Langlands parameters. By "class" of the measure $\mu_{2,v}$, we mean, as in [SV], an equivalence class of measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to each other. Given a choice of additive character ψ_v, this equivalence class has a "canonical" representative which corresponds to the conjecture of Hiraga-Ichino-Ikeda [HII08] on formal degrees.

For discrete parameters, this measure is:

$$\mu_{2,v}(\{\phi\}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_\phi^\sharp|}|\gamma(0,\phi,\mathrm{Ad},\psi_v)|, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\phi^\sharp$ is a finite group related to a centralizer of the parameter. Notice that the adjoint γ-factor appearing in the formula makes sense also for our non-standard versions of L-groups, and it remains unchanged under the isomorphisms with more "classical" L-groups discussed in §2.3.

It is clear that Conjecture 2.5.1 follows immediately from Adams' conjecture 2.4.1, once one knows that the Plancherel measure $\mu_{2,v}$ of the oscillator representation (2.23) is absolutely continuous with respect to the Plancherel measure of the group $G_{2,v}$ – we will prove this in Theorem 3.0.2, including the stated fact that the Plancherel decomposition is pointwise defined on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$.

Given that, and choosing $\mu_{2,v} = \mu_{G_{2,v}}$, the Plancherel measure for $G_{2,v}$, in (2.23) (determined by a choice of Haar measure on $G_{2,v}$, which will be done globally), and independently from Conjecture 2.5.1, we get canonical morphisms from (2.23):

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty \to \theta(\pi_2)\hat{\otimes}\pi_2, \tag{2.27}$$

up to scalars of absolute value 1, for $\mu_{2,v}$-almost every π_2. We will actually see that these morphisms are "continuous" in π_2, and hence well-defined (possibly zero) for every tempered π_2, and we will see that these morphisms factorize the square of the absolute value of the global theta pairing.

3. Plancherel decomposition of the oscillator representation

The discussion of L-groups and Conjecture 2.5.1 in the previous section was formulated in such a way to establish the analogy with the theory of period integrals, but to proceed we do not need to invoke Langlands parameters. The following theorem implies Conjecture 2.5.1 if one assumes Adams' conjecture 2.4.1:

3.0.2. **Theorem.** *In the previous setting, there is a direct integral decomposition:*

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_v = \int_{\widehat{G_{2,v}}} \theta(\pi_2)\hat{\otimes}\pi_2 \ \mu_{G_{2,v}}(\pi_2), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mu_{G_{2,v}}$ denotes Plancherel measure for $G_{2,v}$ (depending on a choice of Haar measure on $G_{2,v}$), and it is understood that $\theta(\pi_2)$ can be zero.

The decomposition is pointwise defined on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$, and for almost all π_2 the hermitian form on $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$ that is pulled back from the unitary structure of $\pi = \theta(\pi_2)\hat{\otimes}\pi_2$ is equal to:

$$J_\pi^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_1 \otimes \bar{\Phi}_2) := \sum_\varphi \int_{G_{2,v}/\mathbb{C}^1} \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}_v(g)\Phi_1, \Phi_2\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_v} \overline{\langle \pi_2(g)\varphi, \varphi\rangle_{\pi_2}} dg, \tag{3.2}$$

where φ runs over an orthonormal basis of π_2.

In other words, for $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty$ we have:

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_v} = \int_{\widehat{G_{2,v}}} J_\pi^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2})\ \mu_{G_{2,v}}(\pi_2), \tag{3.3}$$

where π stands for $\theta(\pi_2)\hat{\otimes}\pi_2$, and the J_π^{Planch} are positive semi-definite hermitian forms (for $\mu_{G_{2,v}}$-almost all π_2).

In comparison to the abstract decomposition (2.23), this theorem specifies that the Plancherel measure for $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Plancherel measure on $G_{2,v}$, and determines the hermitian forms of the Plancherel decomposition. The result is probably known to experts, and at least parts of it have appeared in the literature – s. [Li89] for the hermitian forms above and [GG14] for the determination of the measure in the "stable range"; cf. also [How79, Gel76, RS77, ØZ95, ØZ97] for special cases. The proof of this theorem will be the goal of this section. For the rest of this section we omit the index v from the notation, e.g. $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_v$, $G_2 = G_{2,v}$, $\mu_{G_2} = \mu_{G_{2,v}}$ etc. At some points we may denote the fixed completion k_v of our global field by F.

3.0.3. *Remark.* In the non-Archimedean case it has been proven by Yamana [Yam14, Lemma 8.6] (s. also [GQT14, Proposition 11.5]) that the forms J_π^{Planch} are non-vanishing if and only if $\theta(\pi_2) \neq 0$. In particular, the support of Plancherel measure coincides with the (closure of the) set of tempered representations of $G_{2,v}$ which are distinguished by Howe duality. It is expected that this should also be true in the Archimedean case. (To emphasize again the analogy with spherical varieties, this is the analog of Theorem 6.4.1 in [SV].)

3.1. **Growth of matrix coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.**

3.1.1. **Proposition.** *The matrix coefficients of the oscillator representation,*

$$g \mapsto \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}(g)\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}},$$

for $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty$, when restricted to G_2, lie in the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space $\mathscr{C}(G_2)$ of genuine functions on G_2.

This is essentially [Li89, Corollary 3.4] – actually, its proof is contained in the proof of Theorem 3.2 of this paper. However, we shall formulate its proof in terms of "doubling zeta integrals" (and a convergence result for those due to Gan and Ichino), in order to establish facts that we need for our global application. It should be pointed out that the interpretation of local zeta integrals in terms of matrix coefficients was observed already in [Li92].

For a recollection of the notion of Harish-Chandra Schwartz space $\mathscr{C}(G_2)$ for the points of reductive algebraic groups, and more generally groups of "polynomial growth", see [Ber88]. It coincides with the space of all smooth

functions which are integrable against $\Xi(g)\,(\log\|g\|)^N$ for all N (and similarly for their derivatives under the universal enveloping algebra, in the Archimedean case), where Ξ is the Harish-Chandra Ξ function and $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm of polynomial growth on the group. The same definitions apply to functions $\mathbb{C}^1$-covers of algebraic groups, and we denote by $\mathscr{C}(G_2)$ the space of *genuine* Harish-Chandra Schwartz functions on G_2, i.e. those on which the central $\mathbb{C}^1$ acts by the identity character.

The proposition will follow from interpreting integrals against matrix coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ as local zeta integrals of the doubling method for the standard L-function, and invoking well-known results for that case. While doing so, we will be careful about canonically fixing certain isomorphisms, for later use. In particular, we will also be making some comments about global measures etc.

Consider the $(-\epsilon)$-hermitian space $\mathbb{W} = W \oplus (-W)$, where $(-W)$ denotes the same vector space with opposite hermitian form, and the direct sum is an orthogonal one. The diagonal copy $W^{\mathrm{diag}} \subset \mathbb{W}$ is an isotropic subspace, and the oscillator representation $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ associated to the symplectic space $V \otimes \mathbb{W}$ and the additive character ψ has a Schrödinger model:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \simeq L^2(V \otimes \mathbb{W}/\ell), \tag{3.4}$$

where ℓ is the Lagrangian $V \otimes W^{\mathrm{diag}}$. In the next subsection, we will recall that there is a canonical model up to canonical isomorphism for the oscillator representation, a canonical Haar measure (given the additive character ψ) on $V \otimes \mathbb{W}/\ell$ and a distinguished isomorphism between the canonical model for $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ and $L^2(V \otimes \mathbb{W}/\ell)$; hence, we can consider (3.4) as a canonical isomorphism.

By the symplectic form, we can identify the quotient $V \otimes \mathbb{W}/\ell$ with the F-linear dual ℓ^*. The space of smooth vectors is $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^\infty = \mathcal{S}(\ell^*)$, the space of Schwartz functions. The functional:

$$\mathrm{ev}_0 : \mathcal{S}(\ell^*) \ni \Phi \mapsto \Phi(0) \tag{3.5}$$

is an eigen-functional for the Siegel parabolic $\mathbb{S} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$ stabilizing ℓ, with eigencharacter which we temporarily denote by χ. Thus, by Frobenius reciprocity the functional defines a morphism:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^\infty \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W})}(\chi), \tag{3.6}$$

where Ind denotes *unnormalized* induction.

The cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes (-W))$ can canonically be identified with $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)$ through an *anti-genuine* involution (i.e. the central $\mathbb{C}^1$ maps to $\mathbb{C}^1$ through the inverse character). Thus, the oscillator representation associated to the symplectic space $V \otimes (-W)$ and the character ψ is canonically identified with the dual $\boldsymbol{\omega}^\vee = \overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\psi^{-1}}$.

The embedding $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W) \times \mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V \times \mathbb{W})$ gives rise to a morphism:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W}) \tag{3.7}$$

which is genuine in the first copy and anti-genuine in the second. Restricting the oscillator representation of the big group, there is an isomorphism:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}\hat{\otimes}\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\times W)\otimes\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes W)}. \tag{3.8}$$

As we will recall in the next subsection, there is a canonical choice of a unitary such isomorphism, and it has the following property: For any maximal isotropic subspace $Y \subset V \otimes W$ whose linear dual Y^* is endowed with a Haar measure, there are *canonical* unitary isomorphisms with Schrödinger models:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}\hat{\otimes}\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \simeq L^2(Y^*)\hat{\otimes}\overline{L^2(Y^*)} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \simeq L^2(Y^* \oplus Y^*), \tag{3.10}$$

and then (3.8) is the canonical isomorphism:[2]

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L^2(Y^*)\hat{\otimes}\overline{L^2(Y^*)} & = & L^2(Y^* \oplus Y^*), \\ \Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2} & \mapsto & \Phi(x,y) := \Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(y). \end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

(And, similarly, subspaces of smooth vectors are identified with the corresponding spaces of Schwartz functions.)

Moreover:

3.1.2. **Lemma.** *For the canonical choices of isomorphisms* (3.4) *and* (3.8)*, the composition of* ev_0 *with the morphism* (3.8) *is the functional:*

$$\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2} \mapsto \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

For our current purposes an equality up to a scalar of absolute value one would be just as good; however, for our global application the exact equality is important, combined with the compatibility of the canonical isomorphisms with global models. This lemma is well-known, cf. [Li92, p.182], but we will repeat its proof in the next subsection to clarify the canonical nature of various isomorphisms.

Assuming this lemma from now, we conclude:

3.1.3. **Corollary.** *The matrix coefficients* $g \mapsto \langle g\Phi_1, \Phi_2\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$*, lie in the space of the induced representation* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W})}(\chi)$*, identified with the space of functions* $\{f : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W}) \to \mathbb{C} | f(pg) = \chi(p)f(g)\ \forall p \in \mathbb{S}, g \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W})\}$*, and restricted as functions to* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W) \times 1 \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$*.*

Let now $G(\mathbb{W})$ denote the preimage of the isometry group of $\mathbb{W}$ (considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$) in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$, and $\mathbb{P}$ the corresponding Siegel parabolic of $G(\mathbb{W})$ fixing the isotropic space W^{diag}. The restriction of an element of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W})}(\chi)$, again considered as a function, to $G(\mathbb{W})$ via the natural embedding $G(\mathbb{W}) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$ belongs to the space of the

[2]Throughout, a bar over a vector space denotes the same space with the conjugate $\mathbb{C}$-action, and of course for L^2-spaces we have a linear isomorphism $L^2 \to \overline{L^2}$ given by $\Phi \mapsto \bar{\Phi}$.

induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}(\chi|_{\mathbb{P}})$. Using *normalized* (unitary) induction now, which we will denote by $I_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}$, it follows that the matrix coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, restricted to $G_2 \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes W)$ are restrictions via $G_2 \to G_2 \times 1 \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{W})$ of the normalized induced representation

$$I_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}(\chi|_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

of $G(\mathbb{W})$, where $\delta_{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes the modular character of $\mathbb{P}$.

We will now determine the growth of the character $\chi\delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It is more convenient here to work with classical groups, so consider the quotient $\mathbb{P} \to \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$, where $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ is identified with the Levi quotient of the Siegel parabolic of the classical group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$, in such a way that the canonical central cocharacter composed with the (left) adjoint action on the unipotent radical is positive. Notice that the absolute value of the genuine character χ factors through a character of $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ – it is this character that the following lemma is referring to.

3.1.4. **Lemma.** *The absolute value of the character $\chi\delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, considered as a character of $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$ as above, is:*

$$|\chi\delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}| = |\det|^{s_0}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value of the field E, and

$$s_0 = \frac{m - d(n)}{2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Notice that $s_0 \geq 0$ according to our convention that $m \geq d(n)$.

Proof. This is well-known, and a fundamental fact for the theory of the Siegel-Weil formula, but for convenience of the reader we verify it again: by the explicit description of the Schrödinger model [RR93, Theorem 3.5], the Siegel Levi $\mathbb{S}$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})$ acts on the functional ev_0 by a character χ with $|\chi| = |\det|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The map $\mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{S}$ corresponds to tensoring the standard representation $\mathbb{W}$ of the Levi of $\mathbb{P}$ by V (and changing scalars from E to F), thus the determinant of the image is the m-th power of the determinant of (the Levi of) $\mathbb{P}$. This explains the factor $|\det|^{\frac{m}{2}}$, while the factor $|\det|^{-\frac{d(n)}{2}}$ arises by calculation of the modular character of $\mathbb{P}$. □

Thus, to complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.1, up to the proof of Lemma 3.1.2, it suffices to show that for any $f \in I_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}(\chi\delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, the function:

$$G_2 \ni g \mapsto f((g, 1)),$$

where g is embedded as above, lies in the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space. This follows from the local theory of the doubling method, more precisely from the following (or, rather, its proof):

3.1.5. **Lemma.** *For any tempered genuine representation π of G_2, any two smooth vectors $v_1 \in \pi$, $v_2 \in \pi^*$, and any smooth vector $f \in I_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}(\chi')$, the local zeta integral:*

$$Z_F(v_1, v_2, f) := \int_{G_2/\mathbb{C}^1} f((g,1))\overline{\langle \pi(g)v_1, v_2\rangle} dg \tag{3.15}$$

is absolutely convergent if $|\chi'| = |\det|^s$ with $s > -\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. See [GI14][Lemma 9.5]. □

The proof of this lemma in *loc.cit*. actually shows that the function $g \mapsto f((g,1))$ is in the Harish-Chandra space $\mathscr{C}(G_2)$. By Lemma 3.1.4, and since we are assuming that $m \geq d(n)$, this applies to the induced representation $I_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(\mathbb{W})}(\chi\delta_{\mathbb{P}}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, completing the proof of Proposition 3.1.1, except for Lemma 3.1.2 which will be proven in the next section.

3.2. **Comparison of Schrödinger models.** Suppose that Z is a symplectic space (over our fixed local field which we keep omitting from the notation), $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ the oscillator representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(Z)$ determined by the additive character ψ. If ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are two Lagrangian subspaces, endowed with Haar measures dx_1, dx_2, then $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ can be realized on the spaces $L^2(\ell_1^*, dx_1)$ and $L^2(\ell_2^*, dx_2)$, and there is a unique up to a scalar of absolute value 1 equivariant isometry:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} : L^2(\ell_1^*, dx_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\ell_2^*, dx_2). \tag{3.16}$$

Following [Tho08], we will now describe more canonical Schrödinger models, replacing *functions* by *half-densities* valued appropriate *line bundles*, and equipped with canonical isometries between them (see also [Li08] for a nice presentation, and [LV80] for an earlier account of canonical intertwining operators).

Namely, for a Lagrangian subspace ℓ, let $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ denote the space of L^2-half densities[3] on Z/ℓ valued in the hermitian line bundle whose sections are functions on Z satisfying:

$$f(\lambda + z) = \psi\left(\frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{2}\right) f(z) \tag{3.17}$$

for all $\lambda \in \ell$, $z \in Z$, where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denotes the symplectic pairing. We will see below that there are canonical intertwiners between the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ for different choices of ℓ, so we will henceforth consider them as *canonical* models for the oscillator representation.

[3] I use "densities" for the sheaf of densities on our vector spaces, not just for the "Haar" densities of *loc.cit*. Thus, our densities are functions on the vector spaces times the "Haar" densities of *loc.cit* – when they are valued in the trivial line bundle their squares are (arbitrary) measures on the vector spaces, and when they are valued in a hermitian line bundle the same is true for the squares of their absolute values.

A choice of splitting of the quotient $Z \to Z/\ell$ trivializes this line bundle, and a choice of Haar measure dx on $Z/\ell = \ell^*$ (identified through the symplectic pairing, $z \mapsto \langle z, \cdot \rangle$) whose positive square root is a half-density, turns half-densities into functions, thus giving rise to a unitary isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\ell^*, dx). \tag{3.18}$$

Later, when we will return to this point for global purposes, there are canonical Haar measures on the adelic points of these Lagrangians, and any splitting of $Z \to Z/\ell$ over the global field k will result in the same trivialization of the aforementioned line bundle over the adelic points of Z/ℓ (because ψ is automorphic), hence we can apply the present discussion identifying functions with half-densities in a canonical way.

Now, for two such Lagrangians ℓ_1, ℓ_2, there is a canonical (given the character ψ) self-dual Haar measure μ_{ℓ_1,ℓ_2} on the symplectic space $(\ell_1 + \ell_2)/(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2)$. Using the canonical isomorphism:

$$(\ell_1 + \ell_2)/(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2) \simeq \ell_1/(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2) \oplus \ell_2/(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2), \tag{3.19}$$

the square root $\mu_{\ell_1,\ell_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a half density on the space on the right. The formula:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(\phi)(y) = \int_{x \in \ell_2/\ell_1 \cap \ell_2} \phi(x+y)\psi\left(\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{2}\right) \mu_{\ell_1,\ell_2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.20}$$

defines the canonical intertwiner: $\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1} \to \mathcal{H}_{\ell_2}$.

Now we consider special cases that were encountered in the previous subsection.

First of all, there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{\otimes} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\ell^*, dx) \hat{\otimes} \overline{L^2(\ell^*, dx)}$$

for any Lagrangian ℓ whose dual is endowed with a Haar measure dx, determined by the condition that the unitary pairing between $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ translates to the inner product on $L^2(\ell^*, dx)$. This is (3.9).

Secondly, if Z is a symplectic space and $\mathbb{Z} = Z \oplus (-Z)$, and ℓ is the diagonal of Z, there is a canonical splitting $\mathbb{Z}/\ell \to \mathbb{Z}$ whose image is the first copy of Z, and it is endowed with the self-dual Haar measure with respect to the character ψ. This gives a canonical isomorphism of the oscillator representation for $\mathbb{Z}$ with $L^2(Z)$, which is (3.4).

In the same setting, if Y is any Lagrangian of Z whose dual is endowed with a Haar measure dx, then *any* choice of isotropic complement X to Y in Z (giving rise to a complement $X \oplus X$ to $Y \oplus Y$ in $\mathbb{Z}$) induces *the same* isomorphism between the oscillator representation attached to $\mathbb{Z}$ and the space:

$$L^2(Y^* \oplus Y^*, dx \times dx).$$

Indeed, a different choice of splitting for the quotient $Z \to Y^* = Z/Y$ differs by a linear map $\kappa : Y^* \to Y$. Hence, for a vector $v \in \mathcal{H}_{Y \oplus Y}$, its

images Φ, Φ' under the two resulting isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{H}_{Y\oplus Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(Y^* \oplus Y^*, dx \times dx)$$

satisfy:

$$\Phi'(z) = \psi\left(\frac{\langle z, \kappa(z)\rangle}{2} - \frac{\langle z, \kappa(z)\rangle}{2}\right)\Phi(z) = \Phi(z),$$

the minus sign in the bracket appearing because the symplectic forms on Z and $(-Z)$ are opposite. This establishes (3.10).

Now we specialize to the setting of Lemma 3.1.2, except that we denote our symplectic spaces by $Z, \mathbb{Z}$ instead of $V \otimes W$, $V \otimes \mathbb{W}$. The oscillator representation associated to Z will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, and that associated to $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$. Take any Lagrangian $Y \subset Z$, and choose a Haar measure on Y^*. Set $\ell_1 = Y \oplus Y$, $\ell_2 = Z^{\mathrm{diag}}$.

Choose a Lagrangian complement X of Y (identified with Y^* via the symplectic pairing), and a Haar measure dx. As discussed before, this identifies $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \simeq L^2(X \oplus X, dx \times dx)$. Moreover, we also saw that the first copy of Z in $\mathbb{Z}$ identifies $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ with $L^2(Z, dz)$, where dz is the self-dual measure with respect to ψ. For $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\ell_1}$ and $\phi_2 = \mathcal{F}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}\phi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\ell_2}$, the corresponding functions $\Phi_1 \in L^2(X \oplus X, dx \times dx)$, $\Phi_2 \in L^2(Z, dz)$ are obtained by using Haar measures to turn half-densities into functions, and restricting to $X \oplus X$, resp. $Z \oplus 0$. More precisely, splitting the self-dual Haar measure dz on $Z = X \oplus Y$ as $dx \times dy$, identifying the quotient $\ell_2/\ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ with X^{diag}, and applying formula (3.20) divided by the half-density $dz^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get:

$$\Phi_2(x_0 + y_0) = \frac{\phi_2}{dz^{\frac{1}{2}}}((x_0 + y_0, 0)) \overset{(3.20)}{=\!=}$$

$$\int_{x\in X} \phi_1((x + x_0 + y_0, x))\psi\left(\frac{\langle (x,x), (x_0+y_0, 0)\rangle}{2}\right)\frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}}{dx_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dy_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \overset{(3.17)}{=\!=}$$

$$\int_{x\in X} \phi_1((x+x_0, x))\psi\left(\frac{\langle (x + x_0, x), (y_0, 0)\rangle}{2}\right)\psi\left(\frac{\langle (x,x), (x_0+y_0, 0)\rangle}{2}\right)\frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}}{dx_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dy_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{\langle x_0, y_0\rangle}{2}\right)\int_{x\in X} \phi_1((x + x_0, x))\psi\left(\langle x, y_0\rangle\right)\frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}}{dx_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dy_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Now, we can write $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = dx^{\frac{1}{2}} \times dy_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where we have identified $\ell_2/\ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ with X^{diag} as before, and $\ell_1/\ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ with $Y \oplus 0$. Moreover, $\phi_1((x + x_0, x)) = \Phi_1((x + x_0, x))dx^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The last formula reads, then:

$$\Phi_2(x_0 + y_0) = \psi\left(\frac{\langle x_0, y_0\rangle}{2}\right)\int_{x\in X} \Phi_1((x + x_0, x))\psi\left(\langle x, y_0\rangle\right) dx. \tag{3.21}$$

In particular, for $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ we obtain:

$$\Phi_2(0) = \int_{x \in X} \Phi_1((x,x))dx,$$

and if Φ_1 is in the image of a vector of $\boldsymbol{\omega}\hat{\otimes}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ as in (3.11), we obtain the statement of Lemma 3.1.2.

3.3. **Plancherel decomposition of the oscillator representation.** We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.0.2.

We have seen in Proposition 3.1.1 that the matrix coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty$ lie in the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of G_2. The theorem will now follow from the pointwise Plancherel decomposition for the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of the group G_2, provided that we can show that the hermitian forms J_π^{Planch} of (3.2) are positive semi-definite. This has been proven by Hongyu He [He03] under some additional assumptions. Notice that the fact that the Plancherel measure for Ω is supported on the tempered dual of G_2, given that the diagonal matrix coefficients of a dense subspace lie in $L^{2+\epsilon}(G)$, is a theorem of Cowling, Haagerup and Howe [CHH88, Theorem 1]. We will rely on and extend that theorem, using the Plancherel formula for the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space:

3.3.1. **Proposition.** *Let Ω be a unitary representation of (the points of) a reductive group G over a local field, and Ω^0 a dense, invariant subspace of (necessarily smooth) vectors whose matrix coefficients lie in the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space $\mathscr{C}(G)$.*

For all tempered representations π consider the hermitian forms, depending on the choice of a Haar measure on G:

$$J_\pi(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \sum_v \int_G \langle \Omega(g)\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_\Omega \, \overline{\langle \pi(g)v, v \rangle}_\pi dg, \tag{3.22}$$

the sum running over an orthonormal basis of π.

Then J_π is positive semi-definite for every tempered representation π of G, and Ω admits the following Plancherel formula, pointwise defined on Ω^0:

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_\Omega = \int_{\widehat{G}} J_\pi(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)\mu_G(\pi), \tag{3.23}$$

where μ_G denotes the Plancherel measure of G corresponding to the choice of Haar measure in (3.22).

The proposition immediately extends to genuine functions on G_2, and the notion of Harish-Chandra space for those discussed after the statement of Proposition 3.1.1. This is where we will apply it, but for notational simplicity we present the proof for classical groups.

Proof. The formula (3.23) follows from the pointwise Plancherel decomposition for the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of the group G [HC76,

Wal03]: Any Harish-Chandra Schwartz function F on G has the pointwise decomposition:

$$F(g) = \int_{\widehat{G}} F_\pi(g)\mu_G(\pi) \tag{3.24}$$

with

$$F_\pi(x) = \mathrm{tr}(\bar{\pi}(\rho(x)Fdg)) = \sum_v \int_{G(F)} F(gx)\overline{\langle \pi(g)v, v\rangle}_\pi dg, \tag{3.25}$$

where ρ denotes the right regular representation. In particular, when F is the matrix coefficient $F(g) = \langle \Omega(g)\Phi_1, \Phi_2\rangle$, one has

$$F_\pi(1) = J_\pi(\Phi_1, \Phi_2).$$

We just need to explain why (3.23) is a Plancherel formula for our representation Ω, which is equivalent to saying that the forms J_π on Ω^0 are positive semi-definite; indeed, once this is established, the Hilbert spaces of the abstract Plancherel formula (2.22) are obtained by completion.

From [CHH88, Theorem 1], we know that Ω is a tempered representation. There are various equivalent characterizations of this property:

(1) The support of Plancherel measure for Ω lies in the tempered dual $\hat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}$ of G.
(2) Every diagonal matrix coefficient of Ω can be approximated, uniformly on compacta, by diagonal matrix coefficients of the right regular representation ρ of G.
(3) For every $f \in L^1(G)$, we have an inequality of operator norms:

$$\|\Omega(fdg)\| \leq \|\rho(fdg)\|. \tag{3.26}$$

For the equivalence, see [Fel60, Theorems 1.2 and 1.7] and [Eym64, Lemme 1.23].

The algebra $\mathscr{C}(G)dg$ of Harish-Chandra Schwartz measures acts on every tempered representation by convolution. I remind the reader an argument for the convergence of the convolution operator $\Omega(hdg)$, for $h \in \mathscr{C}(G)$ and Ω a tempered representation: Writing $h \in \mathscr{C}(G)$ as a limit of L^1-functions f_n, we see by (3.26) that the limit of the operators $\Omega(f_n dg)$ exists, because the same is true for the limit of the operators $\rho(f_n dg)$.

We are interested in the action of a ring $\mathfrak{z}(G)$ of "multipliers", which lies in the center of a certain completion of the algebra $\mathscr{C}(G)dg$. This ring can be identified with the algebra $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{T})$ of Schwartz functions which are supported on a finite number of connected components of the orbifold $\mathcal{T}$ of tempered parameters. I outline the definitions: The tempered dual $\widehat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}$ has a finite-to-one map onto the orbifold $\mathcal{T}$ whose points are G-conjugacy classes of pairs (L, τ), where L is a Levi subgroup and τ is the isomorphism class of an irreducible, unitary, discrete-mod-center representation of L; the fiber over (L, τ) is the set of irreducible representations in the unitarily induced representation $I_P^G(\tau)$, where P is a parabolic with Levi subgroup L. We let $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{T})$ be the space of Schwartz functions (i.e. smooth functions of

rapid decay) on this orbifold which are supported on a finite number of connected components. (Of course, in the non-Archimedean case the notion of rapid decay is irrelevant, since the components are compact. In this case, the ring of multipliers $\mathfrak{z}(G)$ that I am describing here is the restriction to a finite number of spectral components of the "tempered Bernstein center" of Schneider and Zink [SZ07]; cf. also [DHS].)

There is a ring $\mathfrak{z}(G) \simeq \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{T})$ (we will denote this isomorphism by $z \mapsto \hat{z}$) acting on any tempered representation Ω of G. Its action on K-finite vectors (where K is some maximal compact subgroup) can be described in terms of the Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra, and it is then a simple exercise on the Plancherel formula to show that this action extends to Ω (but we will not use this extension). More precisely, let $\hat{z} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{T})$ be given. For any fixed K-type τ, there is, by the Plancherel formula of [HC76, Wal03], a unique element $z^\tau \in \mathscr{C}(G)dg$ which acts by the scalar $\hat{z}(\pi)$ on the (K,τ)-type vectors of $\pi \in \widehat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}$, and annihilates all other types. (By abuse of notation, we write $\hat{z}(\pi)$ for the value of $\hat{z}$ on the image of π in $\mathcal{T}$.) The formal sum:

$$z = \sum_\tau z^\tau \tag{3.27}$$

over all K-types is a well-defined operator on the K-finite vectors of any tempered representation Ω, and extends uniquely to an operator on Ω which commutes with the action of G.

Returning to the setting of the proposition, for any $v, w \in \Omega^0$, $h \in \mathscr{C}(G)$ and $x \in G$ we have:

$$\langle \Omega(x)\Omega(hdg)v, w\rangle = \int_G h(g)\,\langle \Omega(xg)v, w\rangle\, dg = (\rho(hdg)F)(x),$$

where $F(x)$ is the matrix coefficient $\langle \Omega(x)v, w\rangle$. In other words, the matrix coefficient map from $\Omega \otimes \bar{\Omega}$ to functions on G intertwines the action of the algebra $\mathscr{C}(G)dg$ on Ω with its right regular action on functions on G (which, in particular, is convergent on the image of the matrix coefficient map).

Since the right regular action of $\mathscr{C}(G)dg$ preserves the space $\mathscr{C}(G)$, we may assume that the subspace Ω^0 is stable under the action of $\mathscr{C}(G)dg$ (by replacing it with its span under the action of this algebra).

Now we return to (3.23), setting $F(g) = \langle \Omega(g)\Phi, \Phi\rangle$. To prove that the hermitian forms J_π are positive semi-definite, it is enough to show it for their restrictions to the set of K-finite vectors in Ω^0. Thus, assume that $\Phi \in \Omega^0$ is K-finite. If $z \in \mathfrak{z}(G)$ we get:

$$0 \leq \langle \Omega(z)\Phi, \Omega(z)\Phi\rangle = (\rho(z^* z)F)(1)$$

(where z^* denotes the adjoint operator, which for $f dg \in \mathscr{C}(G)dg$ is represented by $f^* dg$, with $f^*(g) = \overline{f(g^{-1})}$)

$$= \int_{\widehat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}} |\hat{z}(\pi)|^2 F_\pi(1)\mu_G(\pi) \quad \text{(by the Plancherel formula for } \mathscr{C}(G))$$

$$= \int_{\widehat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}} |\hat{z}(\pi)|^2 J_\pi(\Phi,\Phi)\mu_G(\pi).$$

The Plancherel measure is concentrated on the set $\mathcal{T}^0$ of elements of $\widehat{G}^{\mathrm{temp}}$ for which the map to $\mathcal{T}$ is a bijection; indeed, in a family of representations parabolically induced from discrete series, with the discrete series varying by unramified twists, generic elements are irreducible. Hence, the above integral is really an integral over the orbifold $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, the restriction of $F_\pi(1) = J_\pi(\Phi,\Phi)$ to $\mathcal{T}^0$ extends to a Schwartz function $t \mapsto J_t(\Phi,\Phi)$ on this orbifold, again by the Plancherel formula for $\mathscr{C}(G)$. If we had $J_t(\Phi,\Phi) < 0$ for some $t \in \mathcal{T}$, and hence in some neighborhood of t, we would get a contradiction by choosing $\hat{z}$ with support in this neighborhood. Thus, $J_t(\Phi,\Phi) \geq 0$ for all t. This is enough to establish the Plancherel formula, but we notice that it also implies that $J_\pi(\Phi,\Phi) \geq 0$ for *every* tempered π: indeed, if the fiber over $t \in \mathcal{T}$ contains a finite number of elements $\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k$, and π_i appears with multiplicity $m_i \geq 1$ in the corresponding induced representation, we have just shown that the sum $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i J_{\pi_i}$ is a positive semi-definite hermitian form, but since the representations π_i are inequivalent, each of the summands has to be positive semi-definite.

□

Combining this with Proposition 3.1.1, Theorem 3.0.2 follows. We also get as a corollary the following result, which generalizes a theorem of Hongyu He [He03], answering a question of Jian-Shu Li [Li89] in the case where π_2 is tempered:

3.3.2. **Corollary.** *The hermitian forms J_π^{Planch} of (3.2) are positive semi-definite, for every tempered representation π_2 of G_2.*

4. Euler factorization

4.1. **Global conjecture and theorem.** With the spaces V and W defined over a global field k (or its quadratic extension E, in the unitary case), and the additive adele class character ψ, there is a central extension:

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^1 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes W)(\mathbb{A}_k) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V\otimes W)(\mathbb{A}_k) \to 1, \tag{4.1}$$

equipped with a canonical splitting $\mathrm{Sp}(V\otimes W)(k) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes W)(\mathbb{A}_k)$, a genuine, unitary representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and an automorphic (i.e. $\mathrm{Sp}(V\otimes W)(k)$-invariant) functional:

$$\mathcal{I} : \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, we may fix a restricted tensor product decomposition, assumed unitary:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty = \bigotimes_v{}' \boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty, \tag{4.3}$$

such that the factor $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ is the oscillator representation for $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes W)(k_v)$, the preimage of $\mathrm{Sp}(V\otimes W)(k_v)$ in (4.1). Choosing a Lagrangian subspace

$Y \subset V \otimes W$, the representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ admits a Schrödinger model with

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty = \mathcal{S}(Y^*(\mathbb{A}_k)),$$

the canonical functional $\mathcal{I}$ being equal to the functional:

$$\mathcal{S}(Y^*(\mathbb{A}_k)) \ni \Phi \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in Y^*(k)} \Phi(\gamma), \tag{4.4}$$

and the factorization (4.3) can be taken to be an analogous factorization for the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(Y^*(\mathbb{A}_k))$, with $\boldsymbol{\omega}_v$ realized on its Schrödinger model on $\mathcal{S}(Y^*(k_v))$. Measures on adelic vector spaces will always be taken to be Tamagawa measures, and we fix Euler factorizations of those which on k_v or on the k_v-points of a symplectic space are self-dual with respect to the local factor ψ_v of the character ψ.

We now restrict the global oscillator representation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ to the dual pair $G(\mathbb{A}_k) = G_1(\mathbb{A}_k) \times G_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$, where by $G_i(\mathbb{A}_k)$ we denote the $\mathbb{C}^1$-cover obtained by restricting (4.1) to the appropriate subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(\mathbb{A}_k)$. The canonical splitting of the cover over $\mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)(k)$ induces a splitting of $G_i(\mathbb{A}_k)$ over the k-points of the corresponding classical group, and we will denote the corresponding subgroup of $G_i(\mathbb{A}_k)$ by $G_i(k)$.

Let $[G_i] = G_i(k)\backslash G_i(\mathbb{A}_k)$. The space $[G_i]/\mathbb{C}^1$ is the automorphic quotient of a classical group, and we endow it with Tamagawa measure. We fix a factorization of this measure into measures for the local groups $G_{i,v}/\mathbb{C}^1$. The notation $L^2([G_i])$ will denote the space of *genuine* functions on $[G_i]$, endowed with the L^2-inner product obtained by integrating against Tamagawa measure on $[G_i]/\mathbb{C}^1$. Similarly, by "automorphic representation" for G_i we will mean, throughout, a *genuine* automorphic representation.

Let π_1, π_2 be genuine, discrete automorphic representations of G_1, G_2, considered as subspaces of $L^2([G_i])$ (not just as abstract adelic representations) where $[G_i] = G_i(k)\backslash G_i(\mathbb{A}_k)$. Set $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$, and define the theta pairing:

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}} : \bar{\pi}^\infty \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty \to \mathbb{C} \tag{4.5}$$

by:

$$\bar{\pi}^\infty \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty \ni \overline{(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)} \otimes \Phi \mapsto \int_{[G_1]/\mathbb{C}^1 \times [G_2]/\mathbb{C}^1} \overline{\varphi_1(g_1)\varphi_2(g_2)}\mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\omega}(g_1,g_2)\Phi) d(g_1,g_2), \tag{4.6}$$

whenever the integral above is absolutely convergent. This is of course nothing else than the integral of $\overline{\varphi_1}$ against the theta lift of $\overline{\varphi_2}$ with respect to Φ (or vice versa):

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}\left(\overline{(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)} \otimes \Phi\right) = \int_{[G_1]/\mathbb{C}^1} \overline{\varphi_1(g_1)} \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi, \overline{\varphi_2})(g_1) dg_1, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi, \overline{\varphi_2})(g_1) = \int_{[G_2]/\mathbb{C}^1} \overline{\varphi_2}(g_2)\mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\omega}(g_1,g_2)\Phi) dg_2. \tag{4.8}$$

(The image of the theta lift of elements of $\overline{\pi_2}$ will be denoted by $\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2)$, for compatibility with the local notation.) We will eventually work with π_2 cuspidal, in which case, as we will recall, $\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi, \overline{\varphi_2})(g_1)$ is in the discrete automorphic spectrum of $[G_1]$ (possibly zero); in particular, the pairings above are defined for every π_1, and non-zero iff π_1 is not orthogonal to the image of θ^{Aut}.

The pairing $\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}$ is the analog of the following "period" functional when X is a quasi-affine homogeneous spherical variety for a group G over k:

$$\bar\pi^\infty \otimes \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_k)) \ni \varphi \otimes \Phi \mapsto \int_{[G]} \overline{\varphi(g)} \sum_{\gamma \in X(k)} \Phi(\gamma g) dg \tag{4.9}$$

which, when $X(\mathbb{A}_k) = H(\mathbb{A}_k)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_k)$ unfolds to the more familiar period integrals of automorphic forms in $\bar\pi$ over $[H]$.

The pairing $\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}$ is an element of the space $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\bar\pi^\infty \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty, \mathbb{C})$, which is a restricted tensor product of the (at most one-dimensional) spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{G(k_v)}(\bar\pi_v^\infty \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}_v^\infty, \mathbb{C})$, after we fix a *unitary* isomorphism with a restricted tensor product of local unitary representations:

$$\pi^\infty \simeq \bigotimes_v{}' \pi_v^\infty. \tag{4.10}$$

Our goal is to describe the Euler factorization of $\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}$, or rather of the square of its absolute value, in terms of the local Plancherel formula. It will be more convenient to dualize. Hence, let:

$$J_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}} : \boldsymbol{\omega}^\infty \otimes \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^\infty \to \pi \otimes \bar\pi \to \mathbb{C} \tag{4.11}$$

be given by:

$$J_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2}) = \sum_\varphi \mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\bar\varphi \otimes \Phi_1) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\bar\varphi \otimes \Phi_2)}, \tag{4.12}$$

the sum ranging over an orthonormal basis of π. We will call this the *global*, or *automorphic relative character* of Howe duality.

For most of the groups that we are considering, the notion of global Arthur parameters is meaningful, by the work of Arthur and others [Art13, Mok15, KMSW]. In particular, we know that the discrete automorphic specturm of G_1 and G_2 is partitioned in "packets" parametrized by "equivalence classes of global Arthur parameters" which are symbolically written:

$$\psi : \mathcal{L}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to {}^L G_i, \tag{4.13}$$

(where $\mathcal{L}_k$ is supposed to denote the "global Langlands group" of the field, an extension of the global Weil group) and whose real meaning is explained in terms of automorphic representations of general linear groups in [Art13][§1]. We will assume this parametrization to be known in all cases, which is work in progress, cf. [Li15], in order to formulate our global conjecture.

4.1.1. *Remark.* Of course, our groups are non-standard, and to identify them with classical groups or double metaplectic covers thereof, we need to choose idele class characters as in the local discussion of §2.2. It is clear that, once the Arthur parametrization is known for the corresponding classical or metaplectic group, it gives rise in a *canonical* way to an analogous parametrization of genuine automorphic representations for our $\mathbb{C}^1$-covering group in terms of parameters into its non-standard L-group that we attached in §2.3. (For metaplectic double covers, we recall that this parametrization is only canonical given the choice of additive character ψ.)

We will start by formulating a global conjecture, the analog of [SV][Conjecture 17.4.1]. We will restrict ourselves to the "most tempered" part of the distinguished spectrum, which should correspond to discrete "global Arthur parameters" of the form (2.25) (with the Weil-Deligne group replaced by $\mathcal{L}_k$). Thus, call a global Arthur parameter for $G = G_1 \times G_2$ "$\boldsymbol{\omega}$-distinguished" if it is of the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\times \mathrm{Id}} {}^L G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}^L(G_1 \times G_2), \tag{4.14}$$

where the canonical map γ is that of (2.13), and ϕ is discrete (i.e. does not "factor through a Levi subgroup" – again, this has to be understood as in Arthur's book).

Attached to such a parameter, there is (by the aforementioned work of Arthur and others, some in progress) a canonical subspace $\mathcal{A}_\phi$ of $L^2([G])$. For the groups under consideration, this space is multiplicity-free, i.e. every irreducible adelic representation in this space appears with multipliplicity one. Notice that for the (disconnected) even orthogonal group this is not explicitly stated in [Art13], but can be inferred from Theorem 1.5.2 in that monograph. It has also been established by means of the theta correspondence by Atobe-Gan [AGb].

4.1.2. **Conjecture.** *Consider an $\boldsymbol{\omega}$-distinguished global Arthur parameter induced from a discrete parameter $\phi : \mathcal{L}_k \to {}^L G_2$ as above. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_\phi$, and assume (as expected by the generalized Ramanujan conjecture) that π_2 is tempered. Then there is a rational number q such that:*

$$J_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}} = q \prod_v{}^* J_{\pi_v}^{\mathrm{Planch}}. \tag{4.15}$$

Here $\pi_v = \pi_{1,v} \otimes \pi_{2,v}$ with $\pi_{1,v}$ the theta lift of $\pi_{2,v}$, and $J_{\pi_v}^{\mathrm{Planch}}$ denotes the hermitian forms of the local Plancherel formula of Theorem 3.0.2 (possibly zero). The regularized Euler product is understood as follows: For almost every place, the Euler factor will be equal to a local unramified L-factor:

$$\frac{L_v(s_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v)}{d_v(s_0)}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $d_v(s)$ is an explicit product of Hecke L-factors and $s_0 = \frac{m-d(n)}{2} \geq 0$ as in (3.14). We thus understand the Euler product as the partial L-value:

$$\frac{L^S(s_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \pi)}{d^S(s_0)}, \tag{4.17}$$

where S is a sufficiently large set finite set of places, times the above product over $v \in S$.

4.1.3. *Remark.* The L-function appearing in the numerator is the "standard L-function" for parameters into our non-standard L-groups of §2.3. It is defined as in the "standard" case: When the L-group is a subgroup of $O(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ (we omit the dimension from the notation), the standard representation is the standard representation of $O(\mathbb{C})$, resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{C})$. In the unitary case, where the connected component of the L-group is $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C})$, the standard representation is obtained by base change from k to E. The reader can easily see that our non-standard L-groups spare us the need to include the character χ_V in formulas as in [GQT14]. The abelian L-factor $d_v(s_0)$ appears in the evaluation of local zeta integrals, cf. [GQT14, §11.6] and has been computed in [LR05, p.334, Remark 3]. I leave it to the reader to explicate the precise meaning of the abelian factors $d_v(s_0)$ in our setting, since this is somewhat orthogonal to the goal of this paper.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\phi,i}$ be the projection of $\mathcal{A}_\phi$ to $L^2([G_i])$. If we assume that the global theta lift of an irreducible $\pi_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_{\phi,2}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}_{\phi,1}$, which is the global version of Adams' conjecture, and restrict our attention to the case where both π_2 and $\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2)$ are (zero or) cuspidal, the conjecture above is a consequence of the following:

4.1.4. **Theorem.** *Assume that $\pi = \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2) \otimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal (or zero), with π_2 tempered. Exclude the case when W is symplectic of dimension n and V is* split *orthogonal of dimension $2(n+1)$. Then $\pi = 0$ if and only if the right hand side of (4.15) is zero, and in any case formula (4.15) is true on the space of π, with $q = [E : k]$.*

The statement is just a reinterpretation of known versions of the Rallis inner product formula, as we will see in the next section.

Remarks.

(1) The statement means that $\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2)$ is zero if and only if the partial L-function is zero at s_0, or some of the local factors $J^{\mathrm{Planch}}_{\pi_v}$ are zero.

(2) Temperedness ensures that the local Euler factors $J^{\mathrm{Planch}}_{\pi_v}$ are well-defined. These factors also turn out to be meromorphic in the parameters of the representation, and the formulas of [GQT14, Yam14] extend the above result off the tempered spectrum of G_2.

(3) The condition that $\theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2)$ is cuspidal is pretty restrictive: it only happens once in every Witt tower. It would be desirable to verify

the conjecture also in the case where π_2 is discrete but not cuspidal. I do not do this in this paper.

The goal of the rest of this section will be to prove this theorem.

4.2. **Reinterpretation of the Rallis inner product formula.**

4.2.1. *Different ranges for the theta correspondence.* For the purposes of applying the Siegel-Weil theorem and obtaining the Rallis inner product formula, one distinguishes the following cases for a dual pair (G_1, G_2) as in Table (2.1) – without, here, necessarily assuming that $m \geq d(n)$:

First of all, let r denote the Witt index of V (the dimension of its maximal isotropic subspace).

- When $r = 0$ or $m - r > d(n)$, we are in the *convergent range*.

Assume now that this is not the case.

- The case $m < d(n)$ is the *first term range*.
- The case $m = d(n)$ is the *boundary case*.
- The case $d(n) < m \leq 2d(n)$ is the *second term range*.

Having assumed that $m \geq d(n)$ we are either in the boundary or second term range, or in the convergent range. For the second term range, we also need to impose an extra condition imposed by Kudla-Rallis:

$$r \leq n. \tag{4.18}$$

The only case we are excluding by this condition is when $\epsilon = 1$, $m = 2d(n)$ and V is split, i.e. the lift from $G_2 = \mathrm{Sp}_n$ to the split $G_1 = O_{2(n+1)}$. It is a technical condition that should not be necessary, but needs different techniques, see the discussion in [GQT14, §3.5].

4.2.2. *Statement of the Rallis inner product formula.* Denote, as before, by $\mathbb{W}$ the $(-\epsilon)$-hermitian space $W \oplus (-W)$, and by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ the oscillator representation of the adelic covering group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V \otimes \mathbb{W})(\mathbb{A}_k)$. By the isomorphism (3.8), any pair of vectors $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \boldsymbol{\omega}$ give rise to a vector in $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, which we will denote just by $\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2}$.

Recall also the morphism (3.6), from the oscillator representation attached to $V \otimes \mathbb{W}$ to a degenerate principal series. This morphism was obtained by realizing the local oscillator representation $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_v$ on the space $L^2(\ell^*(k_v))$, where ℓ was the Lagrangian subspace $V \otimes W$, embedded diagonally. In §3.2 we described ways to achieve this realization, by choosing a Haar measure on $\ell^*(k_v)$ and a splitting of the natural map $V \otimes \mathbb{W} \to V \otimes \mathbb{W}/\ell = \ell^*$, and we described canonical local choices for those data. These choices do not matter globally (any global choice of splitting, together with Tamagawa Haar measure, will produce the same functional), but we work with them throughout in order to fix an identification of the local factors of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ as in (4.3) with $L^2(\ell^*(k_v))$, and a factorization of the global functional ev_0 with the corresponding product of local functionals.

We will denote the image of a vector $\Phi \in \tilde{\omega}^\infty$ by $f_\Phi \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}_k)}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V\otimes\mathbb{W})(\mathbb{A}_k)}(\chi)$, and based on the isomorphisms just recalled it comes with an Euler factorization, induced from (4.3):

$$f_\Phi = \bigotimes_v f_{\Phi_v}. \tag{4.19}$$

4.2.3. **Theorem.** *Suppose that $m \geq d(n)$ and $r \leq n$.*

Let π_2 be a cuspidal, genuine automorphic representation of G_2 and consider the global theta lift $\pi_1 = \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\pi_2)$ to G_1. Then:

(1) π_1, if non-zero, is discrete (i.e., it lies in $L^2([G_1])$). It is cuspidal if and only if V is anisotropic, or the global theta lift to G_1^{-2} is zero, where G_1^{-2} is constructed like G_1, replacing V by an ϵ-hermitian space V' such that V is the orthogonal sum of V' and a split two-dimensional ϵ-hermitian subspace.

(2) If π_1 is cuspidal then for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \pi_2$ we have:

$$\left\langle \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\overline{\varphi_1}, \Phi_1), \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\overline{\varphi_2}, \Phi_2) \right\rangle_{L^2[G_1]} = [E:k] \prod_v{}^* Z_v(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{\Phi_{1,v}\otimes\overline{\Phi_{2,v}}}) \tag{4.20}$$

where $s_0 = \frac{m-d(n)}{2}$, and Z_v is the local zeta integral (3.15) of the doubling method:

$$Z_v(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{\Phi_v}) = \int_{G_{2,v}/\mathbb{C}^1} f_{\Phi_v}(g,1)\overline{\langle \pi_v(g)\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}\rangle} dg. \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. For the discreteness statement, see [Ral84]; also [Yam14, Proposition 10.1] – notice that condition (4) of this proposition is satisfied because we are assuming $m \geq d(n)$.

Under the assumption that π_1 is cuspidal, (4.20) is [GQT14, Theorem 11.4], except for the boundary case where I point the reader to [Yam14, Lemma 10.1]. □

4.2.4. *Reinterpretation in terms of the Plancherel formula.* We will now reinterpret the Rallis inner product formula to prove Theorem 4.1.4.

By Lemma 3.1.2, we have $f_{\Phi_{1,v}\otimes\overline{\Phi_{2,v}}}(g,1) = \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}(g)\Phi_{1,v}, \Phi_{2,v}\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ for $g \in G_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$. Hence, the local zeta integral (4.21), for $\Phi_v = \Phi_{1,v} \otimes \overline{\Phi_{2,v}}$ can be written:

$$Z_v(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{\Phi_{1,v}\otimes\overline{\Phi_{2,v}}}) = \int_{G_{2,v}/\mathbb{C}^1} \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}(g)\Phi_{1,v}, \Phi_{2,v}\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \overline{\langle \pi_v(g)\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}\rangle} dg. \tag{4.22}$$

By Theorem 3.0.2, these are the morphisms of the local Plancherel formula with measure $\mu_{G_{2,v}}$, the Plancherel measure for $G_{2,v}$. More precisely, in the notation used here:

$$J_{\pi_v}^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_{1,v} \otimes \overline{\Phi_{2,v}}) = \sum_{\varphi_{2,v}} Z_v(\varphi_{2,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{\Phi_{1,v}\otimes\overline{\Phi_{2,v}}}), \tag{4.23}$$

the sum ranging over an orthonormal basis for $\pi_{2,v}$.

Thus,

$$J_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi_1 \otimes \overline{\Phi_2}) = \sum_\varphi \mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\bar\varphi \otimes \Phi_1) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}_\pi^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\bar\varphi \otimes \Phi_2)}$$

(sum over $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$ in an orthonormal basis of $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$)

$$= \sum_{\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2} \int_{[G_1]/\mathbb{C}^1} \overline{\varphi_1(g_1)} \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi_1, \overline{\varphi_2})(g_1) dg_1 \cdot \overline{\int_{[G_1]/\mathbb{C}^1} \overline{\varphi_1(g_1)} \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\Phi_2, \overline{\varphi_2})(g_1) dg_1}, =$$

$$= \sum_{\varphi_2} \left\langle \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\overline{\varphi_2}, \Phi_1), \theta^{\mathrm{Aut}}(\overline{\varphi_2}, \Phi_2) \right\rangle_{L^2[G_1]} =$$

$$= [E:k] \prod_v{}^* \sum_{\varphi_{2,v}} Z_v(\varphi_{2,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{\Phi_{1,v} \otimes \overline{\Phi_{2,v}}}) \quad \text{(by Theorem 4.2.3)}$$

$$= [E:k] \prod_v{}^* J_{\pi_v}^{\mathrm{Planch}}(\Phi_{1,v} \otimes \overline{\Phi_{2,v}}) \quad \text{(by (4.23))},$$

as asserted in Theorem 4.1.4.

References

[AB95] Jeffrey Adams and Dan Barbasch. Reductive dual pair correspondence for complex groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 132(1):1–42, 1995. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1099, doi:10.1006/jfan.1995.1099.

[Ada89] J. Adams. L-functoriality for dual pairs. *Astérisque*, (171-172):85–129, 1989. Orbites unipotentes et représentations, II.

[Art13] James Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations*, volume 61 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. Orthogonal and symplectic groups. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/coll/061, doi:10.1090/coll/061.

[AGa] Hiraku Atobe and Wee Teck Gan. Local theta correspondence of tempered representations and Langlands parameters. Preprint. arXiv:1602.01299.

[AGb] Hiraku Atobe and Wee Teck Gan. On the local Langlands correspondence and Arthur conjecture for even orthogonal groups. Preprint. arXiv:1602.01297.

[Ato] Hiraku Atobe. The local theta correspondence and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for the symplectic-metaplectic case. Preprint. arXiv:1502.03528.

[Ber88] Joseph N. Bernstein. On the support of Plancherel measure. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 5(4):663–710 (1989), 1988. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0393-0440(88)90024-1, doi:10.1016/0393-0440(88)90024-1.

[BD01] Jean-Luc Brylinski and Pierre Deligne. Central extensions of reductive groups by $\mathbf{K}_2$. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (94):5–85, 2001. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10240-001-8192-2, doi:10.1007/s10240-001-8192-2.

[CHH88] M. Cowling, U. Haagerup, and R. Howe. Almost L^2 matrix coefficients. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 387:97–110, 1988.

[DHS] Patrick Delorme, Pascale Harinck, and Yiannis Sakellaridis. Paley-Wiener theorems for a p-adic spherical variety. Preprint. arXiv:1410.2279.

[Eym64] Pierre Eymard. L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 92:181–236, 1964.

[Fel60] J. M. G. Fell. The dual spaces of C^*-algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 94:365–403, 1960.

[GN10] Dennis Gaitsgory and David Nadler. Spherical varieties and Langlands duality. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 10(1):65–137, 271, 2010.

[GG14] Wee Teck Gan and Raul Gomez. A conjecture of Sakellaridis-Venkatesh on the unitary spectrum of spherical varieties. In *Symmetry: representation theory and its applications*, volume 257 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 185–226. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1590-3_7, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1590-3_7.

[GGP12] Wee Teck Gan, Benedict H. Gross, and Dipendra Prasad. Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups. *Astérisque*, (346):1–109, 2012. Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I.

[GI14] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. Formal degrees and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 195(3):509–672, 2014. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-013-0460-5, doi:10.1007/s00222-013-0460-5.

[GI16] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. The Gross-Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 2016. doi:10.1007/s00222-016-0662-8.

[GQT14] Wee Teck Gan, Yannan Qiu, and Shuichiro Takeda. The regularized Siegel-Weil formula (the second term identity) and the Rallis inner product formula. *Invent. Math.*, 198(3):739–831, 2014. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0509-0, doi:10.1007/s00222-014-0509-0.

[GS12] Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin. Representations of metaplectic groups I: epsilon dichotomy and local Langlands correspondence. *Compos. Math.*, 148(6):1655–1694, 2012. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X12000486, doi:10.1112/S0010437X12000486.

[GT11] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula (the second term identity) and non-vanishing of theta lifts from orthogonal groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 659:175–244, 2011. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2011.076, doi:10.1515/CRELLE.2011.076.

[GT16] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda. A proof of the Howe duality conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(2):473–493, 2016. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/jams/839, doi:10.1090/jams/839.

[Gel76] Stephen S. Gelbart. *Weil's representation and the spectrum of the metaplectic group*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 530. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[HC76] Harish-Chandra. Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups. III. The Maass-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(1):117–201, 1976.

[He03] Hongyu He. Unitary representations and theta correspondence for type I classical groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 199(1):92–121, 2003. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00170-2, doi:10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00170-2.

[HII08] Kaoru Hiraga, Atsushi Ichino, and Tamotsu Ikeda. Formal degrees and adjoint γ-factors. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(1):283–304, 2008. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-07-00567-X, doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-07-00567-X.

[How79] Roger Howe. On some results of Strichartz and Rallis and Schiffman. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 32(3):297–303, 1979. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(79)90041-7, doi:10.1016/0022-1236(79)90041-7.

[How89] Roger Howe. Transcending classical invariant theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):535–552, 1989. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1990942, doi:10.2307/1990942.

[Ich01] Atsushi Ichino. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:201–234, 2001. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2001.076, doi:10.1515/crll.2001.076.

[Ich04] Atsushi Ichino. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula for unitary groups. *Math. Z.*, 247(2):241–277, 2004. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-003-0580-5, doi:10.1007/s00209-003-0580-5.

[Ich07] Atsushi Ichino. On the Siegel-Weil formula for unitary groups. *Math. Z.*, 255(4):721–729, 2007. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-006-0045-8, doi:10.1007/s00209-006-0045-8.

[II10] Atsushi Ichino and Tamutsu Ikeda. On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the Gross-Prasad conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(5):1378–1425, 2010. doi:10.1007/s00039-009-0040-4.

[JS07] Dihua Jiang and David Soudry. On the genericity of cuspidal automorphic forms of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$. II. *Compos. Math.*, 143(3):721–748, 2007. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X07002795, doi:10.1112/S0010437X07002795.

[KMSW] Tasho Kaletha, Alberto Minguez, Sug Woo Shin, and Paul-James White. Endoscopic classification of representations: Inner forms of unitary groups. Preprint. arXiv:1409.3731.

[KR88a] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. On the Weil-Siegel formula. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 387:1–68, 1988. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1988.391.65, doi:10.1515/crll.1988.391.65.

[KR88b] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. On the Weil-Siegel formula. II. The isotropic convergent case. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 391:65–84, 1988. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1988.391.65, doi:10.1515/crll.1988.391.65.

[KR94] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 140(1):1–80, 1994. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2118540, doi:10.2307/2118540.

[Kud94] Stephen S. Kudla. Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):361–401, 1994. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02773003, doi:10.1007/BF02773003.

[Kud96] Stephen S. Kudla. Notes on the local theta correspondence. Notes from 10 lectures given at the European School on Group Theory in September 1996, 1996. URL: http://www.math.toronto.edu/skudla/castle.pdf.

[LR05] Erez M. Lapid and Stephen Rallis. On the local factors of representations of classical groups. In *Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects*, volume 11 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 309–359. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110892703.309, doi:10.1515/9783110892703.309.

[Li89] Jian-Shu Li. Singular unitary representations of classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):237–255, 1989. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01389041, doi:10.1007/BF01389041.

[Li92] Jian-Shu Li. Nonvanishing theorems for the cohomology of certain arithmetic quotients. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 428:177–217, 1992. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1992.428.177, doi:10.1515/crll.1992.428.177.

[Li08] Wen-Wei Li. The Weil representation and its character. Masters Thesis, Mathematisch Instituut Leiden, 2008. URL: http://www.wwli.url.tw/downloads/scriptie-master.pdf.

[Li15] Wen-Wei Li. La formule des traces stable pour le groupe métaplectique: les termes elliptiques. *Invent. Math.*, 202(2):743–838, 2015. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-015-0577-9, doi:10.1007/s00222-015-0577-9.

[LV80] Gérard Lion and Michèle Vergne. *The Weil representation, Maslov index and theta series*, volume 6 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.

[Mœg89] C. Mœglin. Correspondance de Howe pour les paires reductives duales: quelques calculs dans le cas archimédien. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 85(1):1–85, 1989. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(89)90046-3, doi:10.1016/0022-1236(89)90046-3.

[Mœg97] Colette Mœglin. Non nullité de certains relêvements par séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):201–229, 1997.

[Mœg11a] C. Mœglin. Multiplicité 1 dans les paquets d'Arthur aux places p-adiques. In *On certain L-functions*, volume 13 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 333–374. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[Mœg11b] Colette Mœglin. Conjecture d'Adams pour la correspondance de Howe et filtration de Kudla. In *Arithmetic geometry and automorphic forms*, volume 19 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 445–503. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[Mok15] Chung Pang Mok. Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 235(1108):vi+248, 2015. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/1108, doi:10.1090/memo/1108.

[Mui04] Goran Muić. Howe correspondence for discrete series representations; the case of $(\mathrm{Sp}(n), \mathrm{O}(V))$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 567:99–150, 2004. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2004.014, doi:10.1515/crll.2004.014.

[Mui06] Goran Muić. On the structure of the full lift for the Howe correspondence of $(\mathrm{Sp}(n), \mathrm{O}(V))$ for rank-one reducibilities. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 49(4):578–591, 2006. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2006-054-3, doi:10.4153/CMB-2006-054-3.

[Mui08a] Goran Muić. On the structure of theta lifts of discrete series for dual pairs $(\mathrm{Sp}(n), \mathrm{O}(V))$. *Israel J. Math.*, 164:87–124, 2008. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11856-008-0022-5, doi:10.1007/s11856-008-0022-5.

[Mui08b] Goran Muić. Theta lifts of tempered representations for dual pairs $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}(V))$. *Canad. J. Math.*, 60(6):1306–1335, 2008. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2008-056-6, doi:10.4153/CJM-2008-056-6.

[ØZ95] B. Ørsted and G. Zhang. L^2-versions of the Howe correspondence. II. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 74(2):165–183, 1995.

[ØZ97] Bent Ørsted and Genkai Zhang. L^2-versions of the Howe correspondence. I. *Math. Scand.*, 80(1):125–160, 1997.

[Pau98] Annegret Paul. Howe correspondence for real unitary groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 159(2):384–431, 1998.

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1998.3330, doi:10.1006/jfan.1998.3330.

[Pau00] Annegret Paul. Howe correspondence for real unitary groups. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(10):3129–3136, 2000. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05359-4, doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05359-4.

[Pau05] Annegret Paul. On the Howe correspondence for symplectic-orthogonal dual pairs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 228(2):270–310, 2005. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2005.03.015, doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2005.03.015.

[Ral84] S. Rallis. On the Howe duality conjecture. *Compositio Math.*, 51(3):333–399, 1984. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1984__51_3_333_0.

[Ral87] Stephen Rallis. *L-functions and the oscillator representation*, volume 1245 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[RS77] S. Rallis and G. Schiffmann. Discrete spectrum of the Weil representation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(2):267–270, 1977.

[RR93] R. Ranga Rao. On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representation. *Pacific J. Math.*, 157(2):335–371, 1993. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102634748.

[SV] Yiannis Sakellaridis and Akshay Venkatesh. Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties. Preprint. arXiv:1203.0039.

[SZ07] Peter Schneider and Ernst-Wilhelm Zink. The algebraic theory of tempered representations of p-adic groups. I. Parabolic induction and restriction. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 6(4):639–688, 2007. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1474748007000047, doi:10.1017/S1474748007000047.

[Tho08] Teruji Thomas. The character of the Weil representation. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 77(1):221–239, 2008. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jlms/jdm098, doi:10.1112/jlms/jdm098.

[Wal80] J.-L. Waldspurger. Correspondance de Shimura. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 59(1):1–132, 1980.

[Wal85] J.-L. Waldspurger. Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie. *Compositio Math.*, 54(2):173–242, 1985. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__54_2_173_0.

[Wal90] J.-L. Waldspurger. Démonstration d'une conjecture de dualité de Howe dans le cas p-adique, $p \neq 2$. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, volume 2 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 267–324. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.

[Wal03] J.-L. Waldspurger. La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p-adiques (d'après Harish-Chandra). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(2):235–333, 2003. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1474748003000082, doi:10.1017/S1474748003000082.

[Wei64] André Weil. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.*, 111:143–211, 1964.

[Wei] Martin H. Weissman. L-groups and parameters for covering groups. Preprint. arXiv:1501.06169.

[Wei14] Martin H. Weissman. Split metaplectic groups and their L-groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 696:89–141, 2014. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0111, doi:10.1515/crelle-2012-0111.

[Yam11] Shunsuke Yamana. On the Siegel-Weil formula: the case of singular forms. *Compos. Math.*, 147(4):1003–1021, 2011.

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X11005379, doi:10.1112/S0010437X11005379.

[Yam14] Shunsuke Yamana. L-functions and theta correspondence for classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):651–732, 2014. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-013-0476-x, doi:10.1007/s00222-013-0476-x.

E-mail address: sakellar@rutgers.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University – Newark, 101 Warren Street, Smith Hall 216, Newark, NJ 07102, USA.

and

Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences, National Technical University of Athens, Heroon Polytechneiou 9, Zografou 15780, Greece.